

NI 43-101

**RESOURCE ESTIMATE
FOR THE
BLENDE PROPERTY**

Yukon Territory

Centered at 64 24' 39" N and 134 40' 21" W

Submitted to:

Blind Creek Resources Ltd.

May 25, 2018

Moose Mountain Technical Services

Susan C. Bird, M.Sc., P.Eng.

Robert J. Morris, M.Sc., P.Geo.

Frank Wright, P.Eng.

1975 1st Ave. South

Cranbrook, B.C. V1C 6Y3 Canada

Tel: 250.489.1212

DATE & SIGNATURE PAGES

Herewith, our report entitled ‘Resource Estimate for the Blende Property’ dated May 25, 2018.

“signed and sealed”

Susan C. Bird, M.Sc., P.Eng
MMTS

Dated the 25th day of May, 2018

“signed and sealed”

Bob Morris, P.Geo
MMTS

Dated the 25th day of May, 2018

“signed and sealed”

Frank Wright, P.Eng.
MMTS

Dated the 25th day of May, 2018

CERTIFICATE & DATE – SUSAN C. BIRD

**I, Susan C. Bird, M.Sc., P.Eng. do hereby certify that as a co-author of the report titled -
“Resource Estimate for the Blende Property” dated May 25, 2018:**

1. I am a Principal of Moose Mountain Technical Services, with a business address of #210 1510 2nd St North Cranbrook BC, V1C 3L2.
2. I graduated with a Geologic Engineering degree (B.Sc.) from the Queen’s University in 1989 and a M.Sc. in Mining from Queen’s University in 1993.
3. I am a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of B.C. (No. 25007).
4. I have worked as an engineering geologist for a total of 20 years since my graduation from university. My relevant experience with similar deposits includes acting as qualified person (QP) for the resource estimate on a number of deposits including Rosemont, AZ, Ilovitza, Macedonia, Pinguino, Argentina as well as resource and reserve estimation for Taseko’s Gibraltar Mine, BC.
5. I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 and certify that because of education, experience, independence and affiliation with a professional organization, I meet the requirements of an Independent Qualified Person as defined in National Instrument 43-101.
6. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with that instrument and form.
7. I am independent of Blind Creek Resource as defined in Item 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
8. I am responsible for Section 14, as well as sections pertaining to the Resource in Sections 1 of the report “**Resource Estimate for the Blende Property - May 25, 2018**”.
9. I have had no previous involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report.
10. As of the date of this certificate, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the Technical Report contains all scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.
11. I consent to the filing of the Technical Report with any stock exchange and other regulatory authority and any publication by them, including electronic publication in the public company files on their websites accessible by the public.

Dated this 25th day of May, 2018

“signed and sealed”

Susan C. Bird, M.Sc., P.Eng.

CERTIFICATE & DATE – ROBERT J. MORRIS

I, Robert J. Morris, M.Sc., P.Geo., do hereby certify that:

- 1) I am a Principal of Moose Mountain Technical Services, #210 1510 – 2nd Street North Cranbrook, BC, Canada V1C 3L2.
- 2) I graduated with a B.Sc. from the University of British Columbia in 1973.
- 3) I graduated with a M.Sc. from Queen’s University in 1978.
- 4) I am a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of B.C. (#18301).
- 5) I have worked as a geologist for over forty years since my graduation from university.
- 6) My past experience with zinc/lead mineral deposit mining includes work at the Faro mine, as well as extensive exploration in the Yukon and SE BC.
- 7) I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) and certify that by reason of education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfil the requirements to be a “qualified person” for the purpose of NI 43-101.
- 8) This certificate applies to the NI 43-101 entitled “**Resource Estimate for the Blende Property**” dated May 25, 2018 (“Technical Report”) prepared for Blind Creek Resources Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
- 9) A site visit to the property was completed 9-11 September 2017 to collect samples for SG and metallurgical testing. I have had no prior involvement with the Blende Project.
- 10) I am independent of Blind Creek Resources Ltd., and work as a geological consultant to the mining industry.
- 11) I am independent of the Issuer applying the test set out in Section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
- 12) I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of the Technical Report that is not reflected in the Technical Report, the omission to disclose, which makes the Technical Report misleading.
- 13) I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with that instrument and form.
- 14) I consent to the filing of the Technical Report with any stock exchange and other regulatory authority and any publication by them, including electronic publication in the public company files on their websites accessible by the public.

Dated this 25th day of May, 2018

“signed and sealed”

Robert J. Morris, M.Sc., P.Geo.,

CERTIFICATE & DATE – FRANK WRIGHT

I, Frank Wright, P.Eng., do hereby certify that:

1. I am a consulting metallurgical engineer and Principal of F. Wright Consulting Inc., practicing at #45-10605 Delsom Cr., Delta, British Columbia, Canada V4C 0A1.
2. This certificate applies to the NI 43-101 entitled “**Resource Estimate for the Blende Property**” dated May 24, 2018 (“Technical Report”) prepared for Blind Creek Resources Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
3. I am a graduate of the University of Alberta, Edmonton Alberta, in 1979 with a Bachelor of Science in Metallurgical Engineering, and a graduate of Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, in 1983 with a Bachelor of Business Administration.
4. I am a member in good standing of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of BC. (Member ID.# 119331)
5. I have continuously practiced my profession in the areas of mineral process and metallurgical engineering since 1979, as an employee of various resource companies and consulting firms. Since 1998, I have been principal and an independent consultant with F. Wright Consulting Inc., primarily providing services to junior and mid-tier mineral exploration and mining firms.
6. I have not visited the Blende property.
7. In the independent NI 43-101 report titled “**Resource Estimate for the Blende Property**”, I am responsible for Section 13.
8. I have had no prior involvement in the property, which is the subject of this technical report.
9. I am independent of Blind Creek Resources Ltd. as defined in Section 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
10. I have read the definition of “qualified person” set out in National Instrument 43-101 and certify that by reason of education, experience, independence and affiliation with a professional association, I fulfill the requirements of a Qualified Person as defined in National Instrument 43-101.
11. I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of Section 13 of the technical report that is not reflected in the Technical Report and that this technical report contains all scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make Section 13 of the technical report not misleading.
12. I have read National Instrument 43-101, Standards for Disclosure of Mineral Properties and Form 43-101F1. The portions of the technical report for which I am responsible have been prepared in compliance with that instrument and form.

Dated this 25th day of May, 2018

“signed and sealed”

Frank Wright, P.Eng.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Summary	12
2	Introduction	15
3	Reliance on Other Experts	16
4	Property Description and Location.....	17
4.1	Property Location.....	17
4.2	Property Description	17
5	Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography.....	19
5.1	Access.....	19
5.2	Climate	19
5.3	Local Resources and Infrastructure	19
5.4	Physiography.....	19
6	History.....	20
6.1	Historical Resources:.....	21
7	Geological Setting and Mineralization	23
7.1	Overview	23
7.2	Regional Geology	23
7.3	Intrusive rocks.....	24
7.4	Stratigraphy.....	26
7.5	Intrusive rocks.....	27
7.6	Structure	27
7.7	Local Geology	27
7.7.1	Stratified Rocks - Paleo-Proterozoic.....	28
7.7.2	Meso-Proterozoic	29
7.7.3	Phanerozoic - Cambrian	29
7.7.4	Structure	30
7.8	Mineralization	32
7.8.1	Mineral Paragenesis (sequence of deposition).....	32
7.8.2	Mineralized Zones.....	33
7.8.3	Mineralized Breccias	33
7.8.4	East Zone Breccias.....	34
7.8.5	West Zone Breccias.....	34
7.8.6	Far-West-Zone Breccias.....	34
7.9	Rock Alteration.....	35
8	Deposit Types	36
8.1	Mineral Deposit Types	36
9	Exploration	37
10	Drilling.....	39
10.1	Drillhole Surveys	40
10.2	2006 Program.....	40
10.3	2007 Program.....	40
10.4	2008 Program.....	40
10.5	Recovery.....	40

11	Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security	41
11.1	Sampling Method and Approach	41
11.2	Check Assays and Metallurgical Testing Samples	42
11.3	Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security.....	42
11.4	1990-1991 Drill Program Check Assays.....	44
11.5	2006 -2008 QA/QC.....	46
11.5.1	Standard Samples	46
11.5.2	Field Duplicate Samples	56
11.6	Blank Samples	58
11.6.1	2006-2008 Summary Statement	60
12	Data Verification.....	61
12.1	Site Visit - 2012	61
12.2	Site Visit – September 2017.....	62
12.2.1	Specific Gravity Sampling and Testing - 2017.....	62
12.2.2	Specific Gravity Measurements - 2017	64
12.2.3	Metallurgical Sampling – 2017.....	66
13	Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing	66
13.1	Historic Data.....	66
13.1.1	1991 - Bacon Donaldson Associates	66
13.1.2	2012 - Hazen Research	67
13.2	2017 Laboratory Study.....	72
13.2.1	Sample Origin	72
13.2.2	Dense Media Separation	75
13.2.3	Flotation.....	77
13.3	Summary and Recommendations.....	79
14	Mineral Resource Estimates	80
14.1	Introduction	80
14.2	Resource.....	80
14.3	Block Model	84
14.4	Domain Modelling.....	84
14.5	Assay Data	87
14.5.1	Trench vs. DDH Assay Bias Check.....	87
14.5.2	Cumulative Probability Plots (CPP) and Capping.....	88
14.6	Composite Data.....	91
14.7	Variography.....	92
14.8	Interpolation Parameters.....	97
14.9	Specific Gravity Values	98
14.10	Resource Classification.....	99
14.11	Reasonable Prospect of Economic Extraction Pit	99
14.12	Model Validation.....	100
15	Mineral Reserve Estimates	110
16	Mining Method.....	110
17	Recovery Methods	110
18	Project Infrastructure.....	110

19	Market Studies and Contracts	110
20	Environmental Studies, Permitting and Social or Community Impact	111
21	Capital and Operating Costs	113
22	Economic Analysis.....	113
23	Adjacent Properties	113
24	Other Relevant Data and Information.....	114
25	Interpretation and Conclusions	114
26	Recommendations	115
27	References.....	116
	APPENDIX A – List of Drillhole Collars and Trench Locations	119
	APPENDIX B – Standard Certificates.....	123
	APPENDIX C – Blende Property Claims	129

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Base Case Mineral Resource (at NSR cutoff grade of \$CDN39.35 (ZnEq=2%)) ...	14
Table 6-1	Historic 1991 Billiton Resource Estimate (at NSR cutoff of \$CDN25)	22
Table 11-1	HARD value summary for Check Assays	44
Table 11-2	Statistics for Standard Reference Material	46
Table 11-3	Summary of Process Control Chart Analysis	47
Table 12-1	Sample Verification Results.....	61
Table 12-2	The 2017 SG database.....	65
Table 13-1	Composite Location and Head Analyses	67
Table 13-2	Mineralization by XRD of 2012 Metallurgical Composites.....	68
Table 13-3	Composites Element Speciation.....	68
Table 13-4	Ball Work Index and Abrasion	69
Table 13-5	HLS Response -3/4"+6 mesh material @ Media SG = 2.90	69
Table 13-6	2012 Composites - Bulk Flotation Response	70
Table 13-7	Comp. 1 & 5 - Hazen Research - Summary of Fuming Conditions and Results...	71
Table 13-8	2017 Metallurgical Samples	73
Table 13-9	Head Analyses 2017 Metallurgical Composite Samples	74
Table 13-10	HSL Results Comp. TW.....	76
Table 13-11	DMS Results - West Zone Composites	76
Table 13-12	Rougher Flotation Results	77
Table 13-13	Lead - Open Cycle Cleaner Flotation Results.....	79

Table 14-1	Blende Deposit Indicated Mineral Resource	81
Table 14-2	Blende Deposit Inferred Mineral Resource	82
Table 14-3	Block Model Parameters	84
Table 14-4	Domain Codes for Geologic Domains.....	84
Table 14-5	Summary Statistics by Domain - Assays	87
Table 14-6	Trench Assay Data compared to DDH Assay Data.....	88
Table 14-7	Capping Values by Metal and Domain	91
Table 14-8	Summary of Composite Statistics and Comparison with Assays.....	92
Table 14-9	Variogram – Major – Zn.....	93
Table 14-10	Variogram – Major – Pb	93
Table 14-11	Variogram – Major – Ag	93
Table 14-12	Summary of Composite Restrictions for each Interpolation Pass.....	97
Table 14-13	Summary of Search Parameters for each Interpolation Pass	97
Table 14-14	Summary of Outlier Restriction Values by Domain.....	98
Table 14-15	Comparison of Modelled and De-Clustered Composite (NN) Grades.....	100
Table 26-1	Blende Project Estimated Budget.....	115

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1	Location Map of the Blende Land Use Permit.....	18
Figure 7-1	Regional Geology.....	25
Figure 7-2	Stratigraphy of the Blende Deposit	26
Figure 7-3	Local Geological Map of Blende Deposit.....	31
Figure 10-1	Plan Map showing Drillholes with Mineralized Zones within the Blende Property	39
Figure 11-1	Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Ag.....	44
Figure 11-2	Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Pb.....	45
Figure 11-3	Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Zn.....	45
Figure 11-4	Standard PB 111 - Pb.....	47
Figure 11-5	Standard PB 106 - Zn	48
Figure 11-6	Standard PB 111 - Ag.....	48
Figure 11-7	Standard PB 112 - Pb.....	49
Figure 11-8	Standard PB 112 - Zn	49
Figure 11-9	Standard PB 112 - Ag.....	50
Figure 11-10	Standard PB 115 - Pb.....	50
Figure 11-11	Standard PB 115 - Zn	51
Figure 11-12	Standard PB 115 - Ag.....	51

Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Figure 11-13	Standard PB 122 - Pb.....	52
Figure 11-14	Standard PB 122 - Zn.....	52
Figure 11-15	Standard PB 122 - Ag.....	53
Figure 11-16	Standard PB 129 - Pb.....	53
Figure 11-17	Standard PB 129 - Zn.....	54
Figure 11-18	Standard PB 129 - Ag.....	54
Figure 11-19	Standard PB 133 - Pb.....	55
Figure 11-20	Standard PB 133 - Zn.....	55
Figure 11-21	Standard PB 133 - Ag.....	56
Figure 11-22	Field Duplicate – Pb Results.....	57
Figure 11-23	Field Duplicate – Zn Results.....	57
Figure 11-24	Field Duplicate – Ag Results.....	58
Figure 11-25	Blank Samples – Pb Results.....	59
Figure 11-26	Blank Samples – Zn Results.....	59
Figure 11-27	Blank Samples – Ag Results.....	60
Figure 12-1	Storage area for 1990-1991 drill core.....	63
Figure 12-2	Typical competent drill core sampled for SG test work.....	63
Figure 12-3	Balance scale and water bucket setup for measuring specific gravity of core ...	64
Figure 13-1	Lead vs Silver Content 2017 Metallurgical Composites.....	74
Figure 13-2	Zinc vs Lead Content 2017 Metallurgical Composites.....	75
Figure 13-3	Comp. TW Rougher Flotation Response vs Grind.....	78
Figure 14-1	West and Far West Zones - View looking N30W showing Constraining Pit (grey) with ZnEqv blocks above 2% Cutoff.....	83
Figure 14-2	East Zone - View looking N30W showing Constraining Pit (grey) with ZnEqv blocks above 2% Cutoff.....	83
Figure 14-3	Plan Map of Domains showing Section Lines.....	85
Figure 14-4	West and West Breccia Zones at Section C-C' - Domain coding of Assays and Model.....	86
Figure 14-5	East Zone at Section E-E' showing Domain coding of Assays and Model.....	86
Figure 14-6	CPP of Total Zn by Domain.....	89
Figure 14-7	CPP of Total Pb by Domain.....	89
Figure 14-8	CPP of Total Ag by Domain.....	90
Figure 14-9	CPP of Total Zn - Oxide by Domain.....	90
Figure 14-10	CPP of Total Pb - Oxide by Domain.....	91
Figure 14-11	Variograms – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 - Zn.....	94
Figure 14-12	Variogram – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 – Pb.....	95
Figure 14-13	Variogram – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 – Ag.....	96
Figure 14-14	Scatterplot of (Zn+Pb) Grade vs. Specific Gravity (sg).....	99
Figure 14-15	Swath Plots – Zn – West Pit.....	101
Figure 14-16	Swath Plots – Pb – West Pit.....	102
Figure 14-17	Swath Plots – Ag – West Pit.....	103

Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Figure 14-18	Grade-Tonnage Curves – Zn	104
Figure 14-19	Grade-Tonnage Curves – Pb	105
Figure 14-20	Grade-Tonnage Curves – Ag	105
Figure 14-21	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Zn Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'	106
Figure 14-22	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Pb Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'	107
Figure 14-23	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Ag Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'	107
Figure 14-24	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Zn Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'.	108
Figure 14-25	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Pb Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'	108
Figure 14-26	Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Ag Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'	109

1 Summary

Moose Mountain Technical Services (MMTS) was retained by Blind Creek Resources Ltd. (Blind Creek) to review the historic work, update the density values on the Blende Zinc-lead-silver property and produce a resource estimate. A site visit was completed by Bob Morris from September 9-11, 2017 at which time access to the property, topography of the property, and drill core was examined.

The Blende property is located in the Yukon Territory and includes a carbonate-hosted polymetallic deposit on the south edge of the Mackenzie Platform, hosted by Middle Proterozoic Gillespie Group dolomite. The property consists of 260 claim units (5434ha) situated north of Mayo and Keno Hill, Yukon Territory.

In late 2005, Blind Creek Resources Ltd (“Blind Creek”) acquired an option to acquire a 60 percent interest in the Blende Silver-Lead-Zinc project from Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. (“Eagle Plains”). On April 17, 2009 it was announced that the Blende Project had been sold to Blind Creek Resources. Blind Creek had completed the requirements to earn a 60% interest in the project by completing a total of \$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures, paying \$175,000 in cash and issuing 1,000,000 common shares. The remaining 40% was sold to Blind Creek in return for 4,500,000 voting-class common shares. The property has an underlying 3% NSR (Net Smelter Royalty); 1.0% to Bernie Kreft and 2% to Sandstorm Gold Ltd.

On the basis of diamond drilling and surface trenching, Historical Mineral Resources for the East and West Zones were estimated by Billiton Resources Canada Inc. (Billiton) as follows:

- 21.4 million tons grading 1.63 ounces per ton (oz/t) silver and 5.85% combined lead+zinc.

Neither Blind Creek nor MMTS have completed sufficient work to verify the Historical estimates and these should not be relied upon for investment decisions. The estimates are referred to as Historical Resources.

Billiton drilled 77 holes on the property totaling over 14,000m along 3.2km of strike length, reporting numerous high-grade intercepts at relatively shallow depths. Subsequent step-out drilling by NDU Resources Ltd. confirmed the continuation of ore-grade mineralization westward, with the addition of significant copper values.

In 2004 Price was retained by Eagle Plains Resource Ltd to review the historic resource calculations on the Blende Property. This review formed part of his 2004 Technical Report on the Blende Zinc-Lead-Silver Deposit. Price concluded that the historic resource estimations are relevant.

In 2005 R.J. Sharp, M.Sc., P.Geol (Alberta and NWT), an expert in carbonate hosted base metal deposits, was retained by Eagle Plains. Mr. Sharp reviewed the “Price Report”, visited the

property and looked at drill core and drillhole locations. Mr. Sharp also reviewed the Billiton sampling methodology, protocol and resource calculation and agreed with Price's conclusions that the resources were reliable and relevant. Based on the recommendations of Price and Sharp, diamond drilling, geological mapping, prospecting and geochemical surveying was carried out by Eagle Plains and Blind Creek in 2006–2008 to test areas of known mineralization and extensions to them, as well as new exploration targets.

In 2006 a total of 4,235.8m of drilling was completed in 23 holes with an additional 3,410.9m in 15 holes completed in 2007.

The 2008 program consisted of seven holes totaling 1,047.3m. Added to the historic drilling of 17,598m in 87 drillholes, the total amount of drilling done on the Blende property is 132 drillholes totaling 25,195.62m. Diamond drilling has confirmed the grades established by the historic drilling in the East Zone and in three places on the West Zone. Recommendations for further work included a closer spaced drill pattern to further assess the West Zone and provide enough data to reinterpret the mineral resources and confirm the continuity of mineralization along strike between each drill section. Other recommendations included systematic drill testing of the down dip continuity of mineralization in the West Zone.

Advances in metallurgical practices for recovering oxide base metals have improved the economics of similar mineralization.

Most geophysical methods have proven very effective in previous exploration efforts at Blende due to the inert nature of the host dolomite. Prior work also established that the deposit is non-acid generating and could be mined by open pit methods. Preliminary metallurgical studies indicate no significant concentrations of deleterious elements. Recent work on treatment of oxide zinc and lead ores has resulted in oxide specific metal recovery processes which could be used to process some of the Blende mineralization.

Previous metallurgical studies were initiated by Blind Creek in 2011 and completed in 2012 by Hazen Research Inc., under the direction of Frank Wright, P.Eng., Principal of F. Wright Consulting Inc., and can be found in Section 13 of this report. Other historic laboratory testwork was sponsored by Billiton Metals Canada Inc. and reported by Bacon Donaldson & Associates in 1991.

The Mineral Resource Estimate follows Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) standards definitions and guidelines. Mineral resources are estimated using MineSight[®] modeling software in 6m by 6m by 6m blocks. Grade estimates are based on 3m composited assay data and interpolation completed using Ordinary Kriging (OK). The model is validated by grade comparison with the nearest neighbor (NN) interpolations. The NN model is then corrected for Volume-Variance effects using the Indirect lognormal correction (ILC) to create a Nearest Neighbour Corrected (NNC) model for model validation using Swath Plots and Grade-Tonnage curves.

The resource has been constrained by a pit shell to determine a shape for the “reasonable prospects of economic extraction”. The constrained pit delineated resource is presented in Table 1-1. The effective date of the estimate is March 27, 2018. There are no known environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource Estimate.

Table 1-1 Base Case Mineral Resource (at NSR cutoff grade of \$CDN39.35 (ZnEq=2%))

Category	Cutoff ZnEq ¹ (%)	In situ Tonnage (ktonnes)	In situ Grades						In situ Metal Content		
			ZnEq ¹ (%)	Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Ag (gpt)	NSR (\$CDN/t)	OXRAT ²	Zn (Mlbs)	Pb (Mlbs)	Ag (koz)
Indicated	2.0	3,650	5.18	1.98	1.95	35.7	101.87	0.08	159	157	4,192
Inferred	2.0	32,980	5.03	2.01	1.88	32.0	98.91	0.22	1,461	1,364	33,980

1. ZnEq calculation:

$$ZnEq = Zn\% + (Pb\% * 1.0 * 0.85 * 0.95)/(1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85) + \left(\frac{Ag\ gpt}{31.1034} * 19 * 0.90 * 0.80\right)/(1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85 * 22.0462)$$

Uses price assumptions of: \$US1.20/lb Zn, \$US1.00/lb Pb, and \$US19.00/oz Ag and an exchange rate of US\$0.80 = \$1CDN. Metal recoveries are based on metallurgical studies done in 2017 on both the oxide and sulfides material, and are: 70% Zn, 85% Pb and 90% Ag (10% to Zn concentrate and 80% to Pb concentrate). Payables based on comparable smelter terms and a 3% NSR Royalty are: 85% Zn, 95% Pb and 80% Ag.

2. $OXRAT = (Pb+Zn)_{oxides}/(Pb+Zn)_{total}$

A proposed work program includes,

- Infill drilling in the West Zone-area and East-area zones to increase confidence in the resource estimation.
- Exploration drilling to extend the known West and Far West Zones both down-dip and along strike, as well as to test the Far East Zone.
- Continued metallurgical studies to better determine appropriate process procedures and optimal recoveries.
- Continual geological investigation in the Central, the Far East area, and the northwest, southeast and northern extensions of the claim group.

2 Introduction

Moose Mountain Technical Services (MMTS) was retained by Blind Creek Resources Ltd (Blind Creek) to update the resource estimate and prepare a Technical Report for the Blende lead zinc property in the Yukon.

Blind Creek is a public company registered in British Columbia. Blind Creek acquired a 100% interest in the Blende Property on Nov. 30, 2008 by issuing 4.5 million shares to former property owners Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. A 1% NSR is held in favor of B. Kreft and a 2% NSR for Sandstorm Gold Ltd.

The purpose of this report is to provide an NI 43-101 compliant resource estimation on the Blende property for Blind Creek Resources Ltd, a public company on the Toronto Stock Exchange Venture board (TSX.V).

The author B. Morris from MMTS visited the Blende property on September 9-11, 2017 for Blind Creek, accompanied by Clive Aspinall, P.Ge., representing Blind Creek Resources Ltd.

3 Reliance on Other Experts

This report is based on the synthesis of existing geological data and on data and observations generated by exploration program conducted by Eagle Plains Resources Ltd (Eagle Plains) and Bootleg Exploration Inc. Sources of information include all available published sources, including government and industry assessment reports on the Property and surrounding area and from other reports that were made available to the authors by the Company. The author has relied on the accuracy of the aforementioned public data in the preparation of part of this technical report.

In this report, the authors have also relied on previous reports by co-author C.S. Gallagher, M.Sc., C.C.Downie, P.Geo., R.J. Sharp, P.Geo., and co-author B.J. Price, P.Geo. Historic reports by Downie, Gallagher, Sharp and Price were based in part on reports and documentation on work done on the Blende project by Billiton, Archer-Cathro and NDU Resources. This historic data was obtained in its entirety by Blind Creek Resources after acquiring the Blende project.

MMTS is of the opinion that the historical work programs conducted on the Blende project have been conducted in a professional manner and the quality of data and information produced from the efforts meet or exceed acceptable industry standards. All work conducted by Eagle Plains Resources and Bootleg Exploration on the Blende property was under the direction of a qualified person. Much of the data has undergone thorough scrutiny by Eagle Plains' staff as well as certain data verification procedures by the authors; see Data Verification, Item 12. Sources of information are listed in the References, Item 27.

For Mineral titles, the authors have relied on information from Yukon Government Mining Recorders online Quartz Mining Claims database. For regional and local geology the authors have relied on previous technical reports that have obtained descriptive information by J.G. Abbott, G.D. Delaney, L.H. Green, C.F. Roots and other geologists employed by the Geological Survey of Canada and the Yukon Geological Survey.

4 Property Description and Location

4.1 Property Location

The Blende property, shown in Figure 4-1, surrounds Mt. Williams, 64km north of Keno Hill, Yukon Territory. Mt Williams lies on the continental divide, just to the south and east of Braine Pass, which separates Beaver River and Stewart River (Yukon River drainage) from Wind River (Mackenzie River drainage). This is at 64° 24' North Latitude and 134° 40' west Longitude in Map sheet 106-D-7 in the north central Yukon. The UTM coordinates at the center of the property are roughly 516500 East and 7142500 North (UTM NAD83 – Zone 08N). Location is shown in Figure 4-1.

4.2 Property Description

The Blende property consists of a contiguous package of 260 Quartz Mining Claims belonging to Quartz Mining Land Use Permit number LQ00474. The claims are owned by Blind Creek who purchased a 100% interest on Nov. 30, 2008 by issuing 4.5 million shares to former property owners Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. A 1% NSR is held in favor of B. Kreft and a 2% NSR for Sandstorm Gold Ltd.

The claims are in good standing with an expiry date ranging between 2018 and 2022. The claims have not been surveyed. The known showings as described in this report lie within the claims. Adequate land is present within the claims for exploration and development purposes.

Blind Creek received a 5-year Class 4 Quartz Mining Land Use Approval to provide for exploration activities at Blende. Blende also received a 2-year Land Use Permit to allow for property road access by the Wind River Winter Trail by the Yukon Department of Energy Mines and Resources, subject to certain conditions. This is detailed in the March 20, 2018 press release on Blind Creek's website.

Other permits governed by laws and regulations pertaining to development, mining, production, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters, may be required as the project progresses.

There are no social or environmental issues known to the author which would affect title. There are, to the best knowledge of the author, no liens or encumbrances on the claims.




Figure 4-1 Location Map of the Blende Land Use Permit

5 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

5.1 Access

The Wind River bulldozer trail or "winter road" passes within eleven kilometers of the property between Elsa and Wind River. ATAC is in the process of permitting an additional winter road to the Tiger-Ocelot properties, as shown in Figure 4-1. Currently, the most practical access is by helicopter from Mayo, on the Stewart River. Mayo is accessed by good highway 450km from Whitehorse, by float plane or by wheeled Fixed Wing aircraft. Helicopters are available in Mayo or in Whitehorse.

5.2 Climate

The area has long cold winters and short moderately warm summers. Exploration is practically restricted to the months of June to September, but snow can occur at any time. Permafrost exists in the area. A remote weather station was installed on the property in 2006 to collect environmental data over the winter season.

5.3 Local Resources and Infrastructure

Essential supplies are available in Mayo, but most supplies are generally brought in from the much larger Territorial capital, Whitehorse, which is the business and government center of the Yukon. Whitehorse has daily flights from Vancouver. The nearest town of Mayo has essential facilities such as fuel, food and lodging, telephone, post office and basic groceries and supplies. It has a gravel airstrip and float plane facilities. Power from the Yukon grid extends from Mayo along the gravel access road to the Elsa and the Keno Hill mine (now owned by Alexco Resource corp.). A good pool of trained labour is available in the Yukon. Major supplies and equipment are generally purchased in Whitehorse or in Dawson City, about two hours by road from Mayo.

5.4 Physiography

The Blende property is on the southern flank of the Wernecke Mountains, characterized by rugged ridges and numerous glacial cirques. To the south lies the Pacific watershed the Yukon River drainage and to the north lies the Pacific watershed of the Wind River. At Mt. Williams, elevations range from 1,200 meters to 1,860 meters. The tree line is at approximately 1,300 meters. The property has sparse grass and lichen vegetation. Outcrop is most common on steep, north facing cirque walls, creek gullies and ridges whereas; south facing exposures are less precipitous and are covered by talus and scree.

6 History

As early as 1905, Camsell and Keele, of the Geological Survey of Canada ascended Stewart and Beaver Rivers as far as the mouth of Braine Creek, just northwest of the Blende deposits at Mt. Williams.

Silver and lead deposits were discovered in 1922 on McKay hill in the Upper Beaver River area shortly after the discovery of the rich silver deposits at Keno Hill. A stampede occurred and many claims were staked (Cockfield 1924). Further exploration led to discovery of deposits on Silver Hill, Carpenter Hill and Grey Copper Hill (1923). Basic geological mapping was accomplished by Cockfield in 1924 (GSC Summary Report 1924 Pt`A"). Considerable activity in the area was initiated by the development of the Keno Hill mines, and the activity led to the discovery of numerous other showings in the area. The following is a summary of exploration on the Blende property itself.

1961 - Mineralization at the Blende was originally noted by the Geological Survey of Canada in 1961.

1975 - The property was staked in 1975 by Cyprus Anvil Mining Corp. as the Will claims. Cyprus Anvil completed geological mapping, sampling, and detailed silt and soil geochemical sampling later in the year.

1981 - Archer Cathro & Associates (1981) Ltd. Re-staked the property in April 1981 and conducted trenching and rock sampling from 1981 to 1984. Expenditures from 1981 to 1983 are said to be \$22,500 (Franzen 1988).

1984 - Archer Cathro and Associates (1981) Limited and Norvista Development Ltd. completed geological mapping, hand trenching and detailed trench sampling in 1984 (Cathro and Carne, 1984) with total expenditures of \$33,000.

1985 - Inco Exploration Ltd optioned the property, tied on more Blende claims (YA77655) in Oct/84 and explored with mapping and sampling in 1985 before dropping the option. Their expenditures are not known.

1987 - NDU Resources Ltd. ("NDU") purchased the property outright in 1987. A comprehensive report was written in 1988 by Jeff Franzen, P.Eng. In 1988, NDU explored the property by mapping and hand trenching and later drilled three holes from one location totaling 718 meters. The results were favorable with long intercepts of silver-lead-zinc mineralization and Franzen noted "...*The Blende property has potential to host a major lead-zinc-silver deposit*". Based on the results (which are described in a subsequent section of this report) Franzen proposed a two stage comprehensive exploration program which was budgeted at approximately \$7 million for both stages.

1989 - NDU carried out further mapping, road construction, soil sampling, magnetic and VLF-EM surveys.

1989 - Billiton Resources (Canada) Inc. ("Billiton") optioned the property from NDU in September 1989. The agreement allowed Billiton to earn 50% equity in the property by expending an aggregate of \$4.3 million in option payments and work by December 31, 1991.

1990 - Billiton as project operator drilled fifteen holes on the main "West" zone, totaling 3659.7 meters. This work led to the calculation of a preliminary diluted in situ open-pit mineral resource of 11.5 million tonnes averaging 3% lead, 2.20 % zinc, and 1.46 oz//tonne silver (50 grams/tonne).

1991 - Billiton completed soil geochemical and geophysical surveys, drill-testing of the deposit over a 3.3km strike length, and preliminary metallurgical tests. The 1991 drilling consisted of 62 holes totaling 11,525m, including fifteen holes in the West Zone, 34 holes in the East Zone and 13 holes in the central area between the two zones.

1993 - Billiton elected in 1993 to convert its 50% equity interest to a 10% net profits royalty. It is assumed by the writer that the "earn-in" was completed. Control of the property in terms of operation returned to NDU Resources.

1994 - NDU drilled seven step-out holes (596 meters) which successfully extended the West Zone 150m further westward (the West Zone remains open in this direction). This activity is the last recorded exploration of the property.

1998 - NDU merged with United Keno Hill Mines Ltd. (UKHM) and the property came under the control of UKHM, which subsequently went into receivership.

2002 - The property was staked by prospector Bernie Kreft.

2005 - The property was optioned by Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. It was then farmed out to Shoshone Silver, a US based OTC Company, but the option was not maintained.

2005 - The property was optioned by Eagle Plains to Blind Creek.

2006, 2007, 2008 - 45 additional diamond drillholes were drilled for a total of 8694.0 metres of drilling

The past work has been described in detail in past Technical Reports filed on SEDAR from 2004 to 2008.

6.1 Historical Resources:

1990 Estimate

Resource estimation and preliminary pit design was undertaken for Billiton by John Paterson, P.Eng of Roscoe, Postle & Associates in the fall of 1990 to provide an order of magnitude grade, tonnage and stripping ratio for the West Zone. This was done using a sectional method of calculation. PC-XPLOR and GEOMODEL software from GEMCOM Services Inc. were used for database management, section and plan generation and volume calculations based on geological interpretations provided by BMCI.

The results of this work indicated the potential for 11.5Mt of diluted mineralization with an in situ value of C\$56.23 above the 1650m level grading 3.01% Pb, 2.20% Zn and 1.46 opt Ag and contained within a potential pit having a strip ratio of about 2:1.

1991 Estimate

After completion of their 1991 drilling campaign, Billiton completed an additional resource calculation (non-compliant NI43-101 resource) with results summarized in Table 6-1 below.

Table 6-1 Historic 1991 Billiton Resource Estimate (at NSR cutoff of \$CDN25)

NSR Cutoff (\$CDN/tonne)	In Situ (ktonnes)	In situ Metal Content		
		Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Ag (gpt)
25	19,600	3.04	2.81	56

This historic estimate:

- is based on 100m spaced sectional interpretation by linear projection
- uses metal prices and exchange rate of: Zn=\$US0.50/lb, Pb =\$US0.28/lb, Ag=\$US4.25/oz, \$US:\$CDN=0.80
- uses recoveries for the sulfide material only of Zn=60%, Pb=85% (west) and 80% (east) Ag=80%
- applies internal dilution based on one adjacent 3m sample of waste
- is confined within a pit shape using a cutoff grade of \$CDN25.00 and pit slopes of 50 degrees
- is the most recent previous resource estimate
- did not have sufficient work done by the author to establish it as a current resource
- is not treated by Blind Creek as a current resource

7 Geological Setting and Mineralization

7.1 Overview

The Blende Zinc (Zn)-Lead (Pb)-Silver (Ag) deposit is a large, structurally controlled, breccia-hosted system on the south edge of the Mackenzie Platform, hosted by Lower Proterozoic Gillespie Group dolomite. The deposit is tabular and dips steeply to the south east, cutting bedding approximately at moderate to high angles. Mineralization occurs intermittently along the structural zone for about 6km and is up to 200m in width. The zone is defined by a large-amplitude open, upright anticline and sub-vertical shear/fault zones that follow fracture cleavage. Mineralization is epigenetic and forms the matrix in a series of parallel breccia zones which strike east-west and dip steeply south. These Pb-Zn-Ag-Cu mineralized breccia zones appear to be controlled by a weakly to moderately-developed axial planar cleavage or parting which strikes ENE and dips steeply to the SWS.

The mineralization consists of yellow, fine to coarse grained sphalerite and galena. Other sulphide minerals include pyrite and minor chalcopyrite plus tetrahedrite. Some syngenetic or early diagenetic mineralization has been found associated with oolites and dewatering structures. Studies by C. Godwin, Ph.D., indicate a lead isotopic age of 1.54 Billion years (“Ga”).

On surface, the deposit is outlined by soil anomalies up to 10,000 parts per million (ppm) Zn. Most geophysical methods including IP, VLF and Max-Min EM work well due to the inert nature of the host dolomite, but graphitic sediments inter-layered within the Gillespie Group dolostones can create spurious anomalies.

7.2 Regional Geology

Regional geology was taken from a previous technical report by Transpolar Geological Consultants Inc., 2006, and is shown in Figure 7-1.

The Blende area is situated on the “Mackenzie Platform” or “Yukon Block”, part of the relatively stable craton overlain by Proterozoic to Paleozoic sedimentary units with minor volcanic components. The Mackenzie Platform is separated from the Selwyn Basin by the Dawson Thrust Fault, an east-west trending and south dipping fault with Proterozoic and Paleozoic history.

Farther to the south are additional south dipping Tombstone and Robert Service thrust faults. To the south of the thrust faults, on the south side of the Selwyn Basin, is the regional Tintina strike slip fault with considerable lateral displacement.

The Yukon Block lay on the margin of the Proterozoic supercontinent of Nena when the Wernecke Supergroup was deposited with the Fairchild Lake Group at its base through the Quartet Group to the Gillespie Lake Group at its top. The Racklan Orogeny folded the Wernecke Supergroup and either non-deposition or erosion removed over 300Ma from the stratigraphic column resulting in the Middle Proterozoic Pinguicula Group sitting unconformably on the Gillespie Lake Group at the Blende Property (Thorkelson, 2000).

The Wernecke Supergroup extends northward and westward beneath lower Paleozoic rocks of the Mackenzie Platform where it is regionally exposed in erosional “windows” or inliers. The Blende Property is underlain by the upper two groups of the Wernecke Supergroup, which are the Quartet Group and Gillespie Lake Group. These are overlain by a unit referred to, by Mustard et al. (1990), as “Unit 4” which is tentatively correlated with the Pinguicula Group exposed to the north of the Blende area. A regional unconformity separates the Lower Proterozoic Wernecke Supergroup from the Middle Proterozoic Pinguicula Group, Figure 7-2.

The Quartet Group consists of a turbiditic succession of dark brown and black siltstone, argillite and minor sandstone (Roots, 1990). Beds are normally graded and separated by thin white laminae. The base of the unit is not observed and the top is gradational with the Gillespie Lake Group (Roots, 1990). Locally, this contact is reportedly an angular unconformity and the underlying Quartet Group is folded and cleaved.

The Gillespie Lake Group is mapped in two divisions by Roots (1990). The Lower Division (G1) is turbiditic and comprises 1-5m thick fining upward successions of graded dolomitic sandstone/siltstone with argillaceous tops. The Upper Division (G2) consists of thickly bedded dololite with stromatolitic sections, and commonly contains oolites, dissolution structures, mudcracks and intraclasts which are indicative of shallow water and emergent conditions. Unit G2 of the Gillespie Lake Group is pervasively dolomitized which locally obliterates original sedimentary structures and it is this unit that hosts the Blende Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization.

Pinguicula Group (Unit 4), 4 kilometres east of Mt. Williams, comprises pebble to cobble conglomerate disconformably overlying the Gillespie Lake Group. On the Blende property, dark siliceous fine sandstone and siltstone overlie the Gillespie Lake Group (Roots, 1990). This succession contains thin beds of fine cross-laminated dolostone which passes upward into light-coloured platy siltstone and is overlain by a light pink dolostone characterized by fine algal laminae and small budding stromatolite heads atop large columns (units P1-P3). Stratigraphy is illustrated in a diagrammatic section.

7.3 Intrusive rocks

Numerous sills, plugs and dykes of brown weathering hornblende gabbro intrude the Gillespie Lake Group in the Blende area and form broad bands and rugged ridges that trend southeast across the area. These intrusions, named by Abbott as the Hart River sills, are reported to cut “Unit 4” rocks (Roots, 1990).





Figure 7-1 Regional Geology

7.4 Stratigraphy

Details on the stratigraphy are contained within the 2006 NI43-101 report on the Blende Property by R.J. Sharp. No significant new information on the stratigraphy on the property has been collected during the 2006 -2017 drilling, mapping programs, and density collection programs. Figure 7-2 illustrates the major components of the Gillespie Lake Group stratigraphy.




Figure 7-2 Stratigraphy of the Blende Deposit

7.5 Intrusive rocks

During the 2006 drill program numerous sills, plugs and dykes of brown weathering hornblende gabbro and diorite were intersected. These intrusive bodies intrude the Gillespie Lake Group dolostones hosting mineralization and were seen to displace Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization. The intrusive rocks are barren and have the net effect of diluting grade in mineralized areas.

7.6 Structure

The Blende Property is marked by a number of major fault zones as well as folding related to regional mountain building events. These structures and the mineral occurrences are related in that some of the accompanying structures are the conduits for mineralization. Figure 7-3 shows the property scale geology and structure including the axis of the Blende Structural Zone associated with Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization.

Multiple deformation events have affected this area. The first event to have affected this area is the Racklan Orogeny (~1700 Ma.). This event most likely had a southeastern direction of shortening that resulted in structures oriented approximately southwest to northeasterly. The Racklan Orogeny occurred prior to the Laramide Orogeny (Mesozoic to early-Tertiary) which featured a northeastern direction of shortening. Structures related to this later deformation event are roughly oriented northwest-southeast; sub parallel to the dominant orientation of structures in the Blende Property. Evidence for an earlier orogeny is difficult to determine considering the strong overprint of the Laramide structures.

7.7 Local Geology

Local geology summarized below has been paraphrased from a previous technical report by BJ Price Geological Consulting and Christopher S Gallagher., 2009, and is shown in Figure 7-1.

The property geology was remapped near the mineralized showings and mapping coverage was also extended along strike of the known mineralization. A differential GPS was used to more accurately locate critical geological contacts whilst other contacts and stations were located using a standard GPS unit. Mapping data and GPS locations were stored in a database and downloaded to a GIS system using a specially prepared topographic base map. The property base map was obtained by flying an aerial survey in 2006 and having an orthophoto and contour map prepared. The old digital topographic data available from National Resources Canada has an error of at least 25m which makes accurate GPS locations; using the same projection, appear to be in the wrong spot with respect to the known topography. This problem was solved by using the new base map. The local geology on and around the Blende Property was examined in detail during 2006. Geological contacts were checked and lithologies were confirmed and a geological map was prepared by M. Bowerman, under the supervision of the writer. The revised geological map is shown in Figure 7-3 and reported in detail by Bowerman, 2006 and summarized in the Blende Property 2006 Assessment Report written by Sharp and Gallagher.

The following sections are summaries based on the Bowerman, 2006 and Sharp and Gallagher, 2006 reports.

7.7.1 Stratified Rocks - Paleo-Proterozoic

Quartet Group

The Quartet Group is a recessive unit of grey to black mudstone that is rarely exposed on the Blende Property. Bedding is defined by thin silty to fine-sand laminations that are relatively planar. Cleavage is well developed in this unit, although there is no evidence of other deformation exhibited in outcrop. Veining and mineralization is not reported at any of the outcrops examined although disseminated pyrite is rarely found.

The only exposures of the Quartet Group in the Blende Property are limited to the northeast and northwest portion of the property. The exposure in the northwest portion of the field area is suspect as Quartet Group, considering that the limited exposures found are nearly surrounded by Gillespie Lake Group rocks. It is common to see 20-30m wide intervals of grey mudstone within lower parts of the Gillespie Lake Group hence some of the previous mapping that assigned these rocks to the Quartet Group was corrected. The Quartet Group appears to be in fault contact with the Pinguicula Group in the Far-East Zone.

Gillespie Lake Group

The morphology of the Gillespie Lake Group is quite varied within the Blende Property. Previous researchers have separated the Gillespie Group into seven subdivisions (Delaney, 1981), some of which are clearly exposed in the Blende Property.

Above the East Zone the unconformity between the Pinguicula Group and the Gillespie Lake Group is clearly exposed. The uppermost unit of the Gillespie Lake Group is a thickly (>1m to massive) bedded dolostone to slightly silty dolostone that weathers reddish-orange. Algal structures have a wide variety of forms, as stromatolites, wavy laminations, and oncoids. Usually, these algal structures are silicified and more resistant to weathering than the host dolostone. This section corresponds with the G7 unit of the Gillespie Lake Group described by Delaney (1981).

The central units of the Gillespie Lake Group display more internal structure, in the form of thinly (0.5-3cm) bedded dolomitic siltstone with occasional thick bedded (>1m) sections. The dolomite varies in silt content, which defines bedding and creates a wide range in appearance of this formation. The dolomitic siltstone weathers orange to tan and is fine grained. There are sections that display strong differential weathering, and have a 'banded' appearance of light tan resistant layers and recessive orange layers or nodules. Stromatolitic sections with columnar stromatolites 3-15cm wide and 3-20cm in diameter are present occasionally. Distinctive, fining-upwards oolitic layers are found rarely. The ooids range in diameter from 0.5mm to 2mm and single oolitic layers can be up to 1.5m thick. Another distinctive feature is thin layers of conglomerate with tabular clasts of dolomitic siltstone. These unique sedimentary structures are not continuous or common enough to be considered marker horizons. The boundaries between these lithologies are not sharp and their interbedded nature and structural complexity creates challenges in determining the fine detail of the stratigraphic column. The mineralization of the Blende Property is hosted in veins and breccias in this part of the Gillespie Lake Group. In outcrop, veins filled by siderite, dolospar, and quartz are common. These veins are normally less than 1 cm wide and occasionally zones of rubble and crackle brecciation are apparent in the more

intensely veined areas. Cleavage is well developed in more siliciclastic layers but more often, irregular spaced and oriented cleavage (possibly strong jointing) is the most common.

The lower part of the Gillespie Lake Group exposed at the Blende is dominated by dolomitic siltstone that is finely laminated and greenish-grey to brownish-orange in colour. These dolomitic siltstones have a high siliciclastic component and are relatively devoid of sedimentary structures other than laminations or bedding. Cleavage is well developed in the lower Gillespie Lake Group due to the higher siliciclastic component as compared to the upper Gillespie. A large section of lower Gillespie Lake Group is exposed to the northwest of the Far-West Zone. The lower contact between the Quartet Group and the Gillespie Lake Group has not been observed in the field area.

7.7.2 Meso-Proterozoic

Pinguicula Group

Upper Unit: A massive grey dolostone forms the upper unit of the Pinguicula. Distinctive coarse pink dolospar veinlets and pods are common throughout. This unit forms resistant grey ridges within the Far East Zone of the Blende Property.

Middle Unit: The middle unit of the Pinguicula Group is a distinct package of green and maroon weathering mudstone. These mudstones are generally grey to green on a fresh surface and weather green to maroon, with the maroon layers usually being more carbonaceous. The majority of the mudstone is siliciclastic with occasional layers of slightly dolomitic mudstones. The majority of the Pinguicula exposed in the Blende Property is this unit and a considerable section is found in the Far-East Zone.

Lower Unit: A distinctive layer of conglomerate marks the lower-most unit of the Pinguicula Group. This conglomerate is defined by sub-rounded clasts that range in size from pebble to boulder with varying provenance, from black shale to intermediate igneous. The exposed thickness of the basal conglomerate ranges from 3m to 20m and quickly grades into brown-weathering, coarse grained sandstone. This lowermost unit is exposed in the SE map area, above the East Zone and NE of the Central Zone.

7.7.3 Phanerozoic - Cambrian

Lower Cambrian Unconformity overlain by Taiga Group and Bouvette Formation Taiga Group

Mapped 1.5km northwest of the West Zone, this unit was a medium to fine grained buff grey, resistant dolostone. The outcrop visited had dolospar veining which could be described as a weak zebra texture. The rock was commonly fractured and filled with white to pink dolospar. This unit is known to rest unconformably on the Gillespie Lake Group but the contact in the field was obscured by talus.

Bouvette Formation

Mapped 1km northwest of the west zone, only the basal contact of this unit was seen in the 2006 field work. The contact appears to be unconformable with the underlying Gillespie Lake Group, but may also be tectonic. The outcrop observed was a white to tan, medium grained quartzite with local conglomerate. No bedding was visible to get strike and dip orientations from.

Intrusive Rocks

Most intrusive rocks on the Blende property belong to the Hart River Intrusive Suite. This group of intrusive rocks vary from coarse to fine grained with compositions that range from diorite to gabbro. The intrusions range from small dykes and sills, less than 1m wide, to thick ones that are up to 500m wide. They often have bleached and talc altered halos developed in the adjacent dolostones but everywhere appear to post-date the Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization. The intrusive rocks commonly show some degree of chloritization. Most of the smaller sized intrusive bodies near or within the mineralized zones have an irregular shape ranging from sills to dykes to plugs. One very large sill lies to the immediate south of the claim group and appears related to similar bodies that lie in the southeast portion of the claims (see Figure 7-3). This may have been part of an extensive series of sills intruded into strata overlying the mineralized zones but is now mostly eroded. It is interesting to note the correlation between areas of significant Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization and the presence of numerous but small dykes and irregular mafic masses cutting into or near the mineralized strata. One small 10cm thick black mafic dike with very fine grained chilled margins cut one hole in the east zone. A similar occurrence was noted off the property about 1.5km north of the East Zone.

7.7.4 Structure

Most units in the field area do not show significant deformation at the outcrop scale. Near faults and in the hinges of major folds, there appears to be more parasitic folding, usually visible in more silty lithologies than carbonates. A foliation (S_1), axial planar to the major antiform, is also documented in most outcrops; this foliation varies from an anatomizing disjunctive foliation in massive carbonate units (dolomitic siltstone) to a true continuous cleavage in more phyllosilicate rich layers and rocks (mudstones and graphitic rich layers). Development of S_1 is also much more developed near major structures and in parasitic fold hinges.

The large scale structure dominating the main corridor of mineralization is an anticline with a fold axis orientation of approximately $120^\circ/10^\circ$ and an axial plane orientation of $120^\circ/65^\circ$. The folds are verging to the northeast so that the long limb of the asymmetrical folds is dipping to the southwest. This is exhibited by the dominance of southwest dipping strata in the field area. Parasitic folds have a similar orientation to the major fold, but localized drag folding related to faulting is variable in orientation.

Faulting throughout the field area is common with the majority of faults displaying a $\sim 120^\circ$ strike and steep dip towards the southwest of $60^\circ-70^\circ$. Drag folding into these faults is common and they suggest a reverse sense of motion (Northeast side down). There are rare slickensides that suggest dominantly strike-slip motion on some of the exposed faults, but this may be a late phase of movement of unknown magnitude. The major anticline that strikes through the mineralized corridor also seems to have a close relationship with faulting. The faulting in the hinge zone of the anticline is most likely from progressive deformation of the fold with the transformation into a fault, a common structural association in the Cordilleran Fold and Thrust Belt (Bowerman, 2006).




Figure 7-3 Local Geological Map of Blende Deposit

7.8 Mineralization

Zinc, lead, and silver mineralization occur in five main domains within the three main zones on the Blende Property. From west to east the mineralized domains are named: *Far West (Far West Zone), West Zone and West Brx (within the West Zone), East Central and Eastern North (within the East Zone).*

It was deemed that insufficient data within the Central Zone and Far East Zone was reason to exclude these from the Resource Estimation but should be considered as exploration targets for future work. The principal minerals containing the Zn and Pb are sphalerite (ZnS) and galena (PbS) but weathering has also converted a significant amount of the sulfides to smithsonite (ZnCO₃) and anglesite (PbCO₃) requiring both sulfide and non-sulfide zinc and lead analyses to be carried out on all drill cores sent for assay or geochemical analysis.

High silver values are associated mainly with tetrahedrite but one occurrence of native silver was found in drill core from the East Zone. Typically the highest silver assays come from the drillholes in the West zone. In 2006, drillhole B90-060 was re-sampled to check the high silver assay obtained in 1990 and is included in the 2006 analytical dataset. Chalcopyrite is present in drill core but is rare and in late vugs perhaps related to a separate fluid phase and not the principal Zn-Pb phase. Chalcopyrite grains and crystals up to 4cm diameter in small occurrences were occasionally found while prospecting within or near the mafic dykes and sills of the Hart River Intrusive Suite. These may be related to the magmatic event. Gangue minerals are calcite, talc, pyrite, quartz and dolospar within extensive dolomite containing interbedded siliciclastic and carbonaceous material. Axinite has been reported from the area.

7.8.1 Mineral Paragenesis (sequence of deposition)

Based upon examination of mineralized outcrops, drill core logging and petrographic examination by company geologists working on the property the following mineral paragenesis was arrived:

1. Early pyrite deposition which was later fractured, brecciated and corroded then partly replaced by an early sphalerite ± galena;
2. Main stage deposition of sphalerite and galena ± pyrite;
3. Late stage coarse grained galena and/or fine grained clusters of tetrahedrite associated with quartz-dolospar and a minor potassium feldspar component as vein filling cement;
4. Rarely a late phase of a Ag-Cu alloy (Gleeson, personal communication, July 25, 2006)
5. A very late phase of chalcopyrite crystals (3-6 mm) associated with fine quartz crystals (1-2 mm) was seen in white dolospar veins in core within small (1-2 cm) vugs.
6. Weathering and oxidation and formation of limonite, goethite smithsonite, hydrozincite and anglesite.

Polished thin sections show that early pyrite is commonly fractured and corroded and often partially replaced by sphalerite and galena. Galena, sphalerite and tetrahedrite appear to lack deformation features. Galena is a vein or void filling mineral and a breccia matrix cement or replacive mineral after dolomite and pyrite. Some galena and sphalerite show exsolution textures.

Extensive mineralogical work has been done by M. Moroskat as part of his M.Sc. thesis at the University of Alberta. One significant aspect of the Blende mineralization that stands out is the apparent lack of deformation of the sulfides that were formed during the main stage of Zn-Pb deposition. The galena and sphalerite grew in open spaces and acts as cement to previously sheared and brecciated rocks but show little or no effects of strain (Moroskat, 2006).

7.8.2 Mineralized Zones

A 7km long mineralized trend is defined by a number of zones that occur along the axial surface trace of the Blende Antiform, with the two main loci of mineralization being the East and West Zones (Figure 7-3) with less well exposed mineralization along the Central Zone. The trend is bound to the east by the virtually unexplored area of the Far East Zone and to the West by the promising Far West Zone. Zn-Pb mineralization examined in the Far East Zone in 2006 exhibits a very similar character to that seen in the West and East Zones mineralization. It follows a SE trend of fracturing and contains fracture filling and vein style mineralization cutting across the bedding planes of the Gillespie Lake Group. The Far West Zone is actually a continuation of the West Zone and is primarily hosted in the sub-vertical WNW-striking Blende Structural Zone. The West Zone lies 2km to the east of a zinc geochemical anomaly found during the 2006 field program. This may indicate an extension of the mineralized trend in the westward direction.

Pre-mineralization tectonism folded the rocks into a broad SE plunging anticline which developed a strong axial plane fabric that controlled later shearing and brecciation within the thick bedded dolostones in the Gillespie Lake Group. Along with imparting a strong cleavage, folding, faulting and shearing have produced parasitic small scale folds and faults as well as shear zones and planes which are visible most commonly in the East Zone but are present in the West Zone as well. These extensively fractured, sheared and brecciated rocks provided access for mineralizing fluids. Fe, Zn and Pb sulfide minerals filled voids, replaced breccia matrix and occasionally replaced the host rock adjacent to and within the mineralized zones.

7.8.3 Mineralized Breccias

Breccias associated with mineralization were classified mainly on the shape of fragment vs. matrix and cement with an emphasis on non-genetic descriptions. Crackle to float breccia are the most common forms of breccia seen throughout the mineralized areas on the Blende property but all breccias show large variations in fragment size, angularity, cement and matrix composition, often over intervals as short as 0.5m. Classifying breccia types over 1m intervals in the drill core was often difficult due to this irregularity. The limits of crackle breccia were vague and in places large areas could be called “crackle breccia” in the strict sense of the definition. However, the fracturing and spar filling was very fine, sparse and irregular enough that it is not a useful guide to mineralization.

Within the sulfide bearing portion of the breccia, the sulfide precipitated as cement as well as replacing some of the finer-grained granular detrital dolomite matrix. Local fragmentation of the host rock resulting from dissolution effects is also observed in drill core throughout the East and West zones but is overprinted by veining, tectonism, talc alteration and silicification, all of which tend to obscure the dissolution features. A lack of marker units hinders correlating bedded units across the mineralized

areas which makes it difficult to estimate volume loss of the host strata. Therefore, it is difficult to document the importance of sulfide related dissolution processes in creating open space and conduits for mineralizing fluids.

7.8.4 East Zone Breccias

Mineralization in the east zone is more sheared than in the West Zones. In the East Zone brecciation is related to tectonic deformation which produced fracturing and shearing along the axial plane of a major SE trending fold. These brecciated rocks have a complex history of carbonate veining followed by dissolution, shearing and more brecciation. Host rocks are all upper Gillespie Lake Group dolostones ranging from competent thick-bedded dolostones to thin bedded dolostone containing numerous argillaceous beds. Shearing and small scale folding is concentrated in these argillaceous units which led to further brecciation of the more competent layers into fragments floating in a sheared argillaceous matrix or interlayered with other carbonate fragments. Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization replaced the breccia matrix and open spaces within these brecciated structures forming numerous irregular pods and lenses varying from low to high grade Zn+Pb+Ag values. The mineralization strikes along the axial plane cleavage and follows the dip of the cleavage at 65° dip to the SW.

7.8.5 West Zone Breccias

More widespread mineralization in the West Zone occurs in the upper part of the Gillespie Lake Group where a thick bedded, shallow water sequence of dolostones contains more brecciation but less shearing and small scale folding than in the more argillaceous sections of the Gillespie Lake Group. The West Zone mineralization occurs at the apex of a broad SW plunging open anticlinal fold with a well-developed axial planar cleavage, very similar to the East Zone setting. Mineralized fluids migrated upward along fault structures and axial plane cleavage into the broader, open fracture system in the overlying thick bedded carbonate sequence. The greater span of open space within the brecciated and fractured dolostones here led to more pervasive Zn-Pb mineralization than in the East zone where it is controlled by a more restricted area of foliation and cleavage containing lensoidal breccia intervals.

A separate mineralized brecciated structure in the West Zone is the steeply dipping, SE striking , "Discovery" shear that forms the north-east side of the West Zone. This zone outcrops at surface and has been traced to a depth of approximately 250m by drilling and contains discontinuous Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization within the sheared and brecciated matrix.

7.8.6 Far-West-Zone Breccias

Copper mineralization, consisting of chalcopyrite, malachite and azurite, exposed at the surface of the Far West Zone has been tested with the drilling, as well as western extension of the West Zone mineralization. Breccias, hosted in dolomitic siltstone of the Gillespie Lake Group, are mineralized with sphalerite and galena; local areas of chalcopyrite and pyrite up to 5% are also noted. Mineralization appears to decrease to the west. A fault, interpreted from soft gouge, is intersected in all holes deep enough to do so, and in all cases it acts as a boundary for mineralization. No mineralization has been found below the fault, although whether the fault pre-or postdates mineralization is unknown. Diorite intrusive of the Hart River Intrusive suite is intersected in most holes, and generally has alteration along the contacts with wall rock.

7.9 Rock Alteration

There is a lack of alteration features that can be definitively associated with the Zn-Pb-Ag sulfide depositional system at the Blende property. The sulfide minerals and their weathered-oxidized equivalents are the best guide to economic mineralization.

The most common alteration visible in drill core and outcrop is one or more of the following: talc, bleaching or silicification. Talc alteration and bleaching is developed around the margins of some of the Hart River dykes and sills. The larger the intrusive mass the greater the halo of alteration. Bleaching extends from 1 to 50m and talc alteration extends from 1 to 75m away from the intrusive contact into the Gillespie Lake Group dolostone. Talc alteration grades from trace to intense and ranges from a few specks to dense waxy blue green talc. Pyrite and low grade Zn-Pb values are found in talc altered zones around intrusives but no mineralization has been noted within the intrusive bodies. This suggests that the intrusives postdate the sulfide mineralizing system. Silicification is erratic and widespread in the Gillespie Lake Group and occurs in the form of dense, fine grained, black silica replacement of fine grained grey dolostone. Silicification appears unrelated to sulfide content and is likely a diagenetic process. Bleaching is distinct next to many Hart River Intrusive Suite rocks and past workers have attributed it to a contact related de-dolomitization process within the adjacent dolostone.

8 Deposit Types

8.1 Mineral Deposit Types

The mineral deposit types in the region of the Blende deposit were described in the 2004 NI 43-101, and the 2005 and 2007 NI 43-101 reports on the Blende Property by R.J. Sharp. Although initially the Blende deposit was originally identified as a Mississippi Valley type (MVT) deposit, current thinking lies more along the lines of shear or fault-hosted breccias and veins or Irish type carbonate hosted deposits. The fluid inclusion temperatures for main stage mineralization at 285°C (Robinson and Godwin, 1995) are too high for the deposit to fall into the conventional MVT class and the deposits may be structurally controlled replacements or veins.

Other mineral deposit types present in the general Mayo-Wind River-Mackenzie Mountains area are:

- Gold placer deposits (Keno Hill area)
- Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits (Hart River, Marg)
- Tungsten lode and placer deposits (Potato Hills, Dublin Gulch)
- Breccia hosted cop
- per-cobalt deposits (Fairchild Lake area)
- Iron ore - copper-Gold deposits (Upper Hart River)
- Sedimentary Iron deposits (Crest)
- Disseminated gold deposits (McQuesten area, Rau property)

9 Exploration

- 2006 - All work on the property was carried out under the supervision of R.J. Sharp, P. Geol. NQ diamond drilling totaling 5,550.4m in 23 holes, was drilled between June 18, 2006 and September 15, 2006. The drill core was logged by geologists from Eagle Plains Resources: co-author C. Gallagher, M.Sc., M. Moroskat, M. Bowerman and R. Sharp. Mineralized drill intersections were split on site and crushed in a portable sample preparation lab operated by Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd. Sample pulps were shipped to the Eco Tech analytical lab in Kamloops, BC. A geological mapping program was carried out over the property during August, 2006 with rock sampling and prospecting associated with it. In August a soil geochemistry survey was run over parts of the property that were not previously sampled. To establish better mapping control an air photo survey was flown in August and a contour base map prepared over the central part of the claims. A tent camp was constructed on the claim group to provide living and working facilities for the crew. The network of existing roads was maintained and upgraded to allow access to drill site in the East and West Zones. Work also included a sub-meter DGPS survey to locate as many historic drillhole collars as possible. Total cost of the 2006 exploration program was \$1,714,081.71.
- 2007 - All work on the property was carried out under the supervision of co-author Chris Gallagher, M. Sc. NQ diamond drilling totaling 3,410.9m in 15 holes, was drilled between June 15, 2007 and July 14, 2007. The drill core was logged by geologists from Eagle Plains Resources: M. Moroskat, and Emily Vanderstaal. Mineralized drill intersections were split on site and shipped to the Eco Tech analytical lab in Kamloops, BC. The program was conducted from a base camp constructed in 2006. Total cost of the 2007 exploration program was \$1,285,000.00.
- 2008 - All work on the property was carried out under the supervision of Jo Van Randen B. Sc. NQ diamond drilling totaling 1047.3m in 7 holes, was drilled between August 4, 2008 and August 20, 2008. The drill core was logged by Jo Van Randen a geologist contracted by Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. Mineralized drill intersections were sawn on site and shipped to the Eco Tech analytical lab in Kamloops, BC. The program was conducted from a base camp constructed in 2006. Total cost of the 2008 exploration program was \$627,086.05.

For a thorough review of all aspects of past exploration on the Blende Property, including geochemistry, geophysics and diamond drilling, please refer to the 2005 and 2007 NI 43-101 reports on the Blende Property by R.J. Sharp. Assessment reports were also filed for 2006, 2007 and 2008 work by various authors.

Geochemistry

Rock samples were collected as part of the geological mapping and prospecting traverses. Sample locations and geochemical results are reported in detail in the 2006, 2007 and 2008 Assessment Reports on the Blende Property. Elevated Pb, Zn or Cu values were obtained from select samples collected from the Far East showing as well as the East and the Central Zones. The elevated base metal values correspond with visible mineralization noted in the specimens and confirm the presence of mineralization in these areas. It should be noted that these samples were grab samples taken for prospecting purposes and are only meant to be a guide to mineralization and are not used for valuation purposes.

10 Drilling

Total drilling done on the Blende Property from 1988 to the end of 2008 is 132 holes totaling 25,195.62m. For a review of the pre-2006 drilling refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Blende Property prepared in 2007 by R.J. Sharp for Eagle Plains Resources Ltd. The 2006 Assessment report for the Blende Property written by Sharp and Gallagher contains detailed technical information pertaining to the 2006 drill program, including logs, strip logs and geologic sections.

Appendix A contains a table listing all drillhole collars locations, lengths and the trench data. Figure 10-1 shows a plan view of the collar locations and drillhole traces for the diamond drillholes in relation to the main rock domains interpreted for this report




Figure 10-1 Plan Map showing Drillholes with Mineralized Zones within the Blende Property

10.1 Drillhole Surveys

The 2006 - 2008 collar locations were surveyed with a Trimble XRS Pro differentially corrected GPS (“DGPS”) receiver with sub-meter accuracy. The dip and azimuth of the hole at the collar was measured using a Brunton compass, while subsurface azimuth and inclination were surveyed at least once per hole, with a down hole fluxgate magnetometer/inclinometer instrument rented from Icefield Tools Inc. from Whitehorse, Yukon. Historic (pre-2006) collar locations were also surveyed with the DGPS and updated in the digital database. Note that the drillhole azimuths recorded on the drill logs use a local grid north that lies 35° west of true north.

10.2 2006 Program

Interpretation of the 2006 diamond drilling program is discussed in detail in the 2006 Assessment Report on the Blende Property written by Sharp and Gallagher. Diamond drilling confirmed the grades established by the historic drilling in the East Zone and in two places on the West Zone. A closer spaced drill pattern is required to further assess the West Zone and provide enough data to reinterpret the resources. The main concern is the continuity of mineralization along strike between each drill section. The down dip continuity of mineralization should also be systematically tested by the next phase of drilling in the West Zone.

10.3 2007 Program

The 2007 drill program was successful in intersecting significant Pb – Zn +/-Ag mineralization in terms of grade (> 1.0% Pb + Zn) and thickness (> 3.0m) at all target zones. The program's success was in part due to a better understanding of the structural controls on mineralization, gained from the 2006 program. Data obtained from the 2007 drill program is consistent with previous data; mineralization is controlled in steeply SW dipping structural fabrics (S₁ disjunctive foliation and brittle shear zones such as the Blende Structural Zone).

10.4 2008 Program

The 2008 program consisted of seven holes totaling 1,047.3m. Added to the historic drilling of 17,598m in 87 drillholes, the total amount of drilling done on the Blende property is 132 drillholes totaling 25,195.62m. Diamond drilling has confirmed the grades established by the historic drilling in the East Zone and in three places on the West Zone.

10.5 Recovery

Core recoveries are generally greater than 90%, although recovery was less in altered, mineralized and broken ground. The drillers were contractually obliged to maximize core recovery.

11 Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security

11.1 Sampling Method and Approach

Core Treatment

Diamond drill core was taken to the Blende camp and systematically logged and sampled for analysis. All drill logs for the 2006 work are included in the 2006 assessment report on the Blende Property written by Sharp and Gallagher. The logging was done on a Palm Pilot and downloaded to an Access database. Each log contains drill collar location and orientation data followed by a summary of geology and mineralization features seen in each hole. Core logging information presented in the log is: lithology, mineralization, breccia, vein interval, vein point, structure, shear zone, alteration, and geochemistry/assay information. Additional geological notes on the drill core was also recorded in field notes and transferred to the database section. A geological summary of each drillhole was also written by the logger at the completion of the logging of each drillhole and was stored in a database.

All diamond drill core logged by a geologist who chose mineralized intervals for assay samples. A sample interval of 1m was chosen for the sample length, based on the marker blocks in the drill core boxes. A visual estimate was made by the geologist for each sample interval which could later be used as a reference to check the analytical results. The sample interval of NQ core was split in half in the drill camp, either by a Longyear core splitter or was sawn with a diamond saw. The split sample was stored in a labeled plastic bag and the other half was placed back in the core box for permanent storage. The bagged sample was then sent to the analytical lab (Eco Tech Analytical Laboratory Ltd. in Kamloops) for analysis. All samples were shipped in sealed plastic buckets equipped with security seal lids to prevent tampering.

Much of the drill core was photographed and cataloged in the Eagle Plains database. No systematic RQD measurements were taken.

Sampling of the diamond drill core followed a rigorous protocol. The marker blocks were checked and recovered core lengths were measured. The geologist logging the core selected the intervals to be sampled based on a visual estimate of mineralization, either visible sulphides or oxide mineralization visible in the core. A 1.0m sample interval was chosen based on the meterage blocks. In cases where the core splitter may bias the sample where the mineral distribution within the core was significantly inhomogeneous, a splitting line was scribed on the core by the geologist in order to guide the sampler. Sample assay tags were stapled into the core box along with the duplicate sent to the lab with the split sample. Core splitters used a Longyear core splitter or else sawed the core. The sample fraction was placed in a numbered plastic bag and the assay tag was placed in it. The other half of the core was returned to the core box for permanent storage on the property.

Eagle Plains Resource Ltd. completed limited geochemical sampling at the Far West Zone, Far East Zone, and in the main cirque area south of the Central Zone in 2006. All samples were collected by Bootleg Exploration Inc. employees, a wholly owned subsidiary of Eagles Plains Resources, or by sub-contractors. Soil lines were run along topographic contours at 25m spacing between samples and also along ridges at

various locations through the property. Soil pits were dug using mattocks and soil was collected from depths averaging 10-20cm. In areas of relatively thin soil cover, it is believed that the soil samples accurately reflect the underlying lithologies. In areas of thick till and areas with poor or no soil development, soil sampling results may not accurately reflect values from underlying lithologies. Survey control for soil sample lines was established using hand held GPS units.

Rock samples were collected as part of reconnaissance prospecting and mapping traverses, with more detailed grab and chip sampling in areas identified as “highly prospective” on the basis of the presence of quartz veining accompanied by visible Zn-Pb mineralization. Additional indicators of prospective areas are those areas having a favorable structural setting or showing favorable results from historical work such as containing soil and rock geochemical anomalies located by Eagle Plains Resources Ltd.

Complete lists of 2006 -2008 sample locations and analytical results are included in the 2006 Assessment Report for the Blende Property.

11.2 Check Assays and Metallurgical Testing Samples

MMTS was unable to locate information from the 1990-1991 data pertaining to sampling practices, QAQC, etc, that was applied to the work programs prior to Eagle Plains Resources Ltd optioning of the property. A small check assay study was completed in order to accept this data for resource estimation at the primarily Inferred classification level. In order to accept the historical data for resource estimation at higher levels, a more significant check assay study will be required.

Twenty seven quarter core samples from the 1990 and 1991 drilling campaigns were collected from site by Robert Morris, Principal Geologist from MMTS, in September 2017 for the purpose of metallurgical testing and check assaying. These samples were spread across drillholes from the Oxide, Transition and Sulphide zones, in both the west and east zones. Further details are found in Section 12 of this report.

11.3 Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security

Historical samples were prepared by standard methods (Sharp, 2006). According to Sharp, most of these samples were collected by Archer Cathro personnel and prepared and analyzed by Chemex Laboratories in North Vancouver, British Columbia (now ALS Chemex Ltd). For a summary of the historical work on the property see the technical report written by Transpolar Geological Consultants Inc, which is filed in the SEDAR company records.

MMTS was unable to locate information from the historical data pertaining to sampling practices, QAQC, etc, that was applied to the work programs prior to Eagle Plains Resources Ltd optioning of the property. However, MMTS believes the previous work is of sufficient quality based on the technical reputation of the previous operators and has been included for the purposes of the inferred resource estimation.

The following descriptions pertain to data collected from 2006 onwards.

The sample interval of 1m of NQ core was split in half in the drill camp, either by a Longyear core splitter or was sawn with a diamond saw. The split sample was stored in a labeled plastic bag and the other half

was placed back in the core box for permanent storage. The samples were shipped to the analytical lab (Eco Tech Analytical Laboratory Ltd. in Kamloops) for analysis in sealed plastic buckets equipped with security seal lids to prevent tampering.

The sections below gives the sample preparation procedures and quality control information. All samples were analyzed by ICP-mass spectrometer for 30 elements. Analytical results were returned on an assay certificate and data results stored in the Eagle Plains Resources Ltd database. Analytical results and assay certificates are included in the 2006 Assessment Report on the Blende Property, written by Sharp and Gallagher. Any analysis greater than 10,000 ppm Pb, Zn or Cu, flagged that sample for assay. The Eagle Plains Resources Ltd database was updated with the assay value which would take precedent over the ICP result for the element in question. The assay value was used to calculate grade over widths in the valuation of the drilling results.

From 2006 to 2008, a total of 5316 core samples were analyzed by 30 element ICP-mass spectrometer. A total of 1,111 core samples were further analyzed by wet assay method (AA finish) and non-sulfide assay method (AA finish). A wet assay and non-sulfide assay analysis was done on any ICP sample that exceeded 1% Pb, 1% Zn or 30g/tonne Ag.

Below is a brief description of the analytical methods used by Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd (now a member of the ALS group of labs).

Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd. - Multi-Element ICP Analysis

A 0.5 gram sample is digested with 3ml of a 3:1:2 (HCl:HN03:H2O) which contains beryllium which acts as an internal standard for 90 minutes in a water bath at 95°C. The sample is then diluted to 10ml with water. The sample is analyzed on a Jarrell Ash ICP unit. Results are collated by computer and are printed along with accompanying quality control data (repeats and standards). Results are printed on a laser printer and are faxed and/or mailed to the client.

Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd. - Base Metal Assays (Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn)

Samples are catalogued and dried. Rock samples are 2 stage crushed followed by pulverizing a 250 gram sub-sample. The sub-sample is rolled and homogenized and bagged in a pre-numbered bag. A suitable sample weight is digested with aqua regia. The sample is allowed to cool, bulked up to a suitable volume and analyzed by an atomic absorption instrument, to .01% detection limit.

Appropriate certified reference materials accompany the samples through the process providing accurate quality control. Result data is entered along with standards and repeat values and are faxed and/or mailed to the client.

Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd. - Lead & Zinc Non-Sulphide Assays

A 0.5 gram sample is agitated in ammonium acetate for one hour. The sample is diluted with water and shaken. The resultant extract is analyzed for lead or zinc non sulphide by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Standard reference material is included in each batch.

Eco Tech Laboratory Ltd. - Copper Non-Sulphide Assays

A 0.5 gram sample is agitated in 10% Sulphuric Acid for two hours. The resultant extract is analyzed for copper non sulphide by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Standard reference material is included in each batch.

11.4 1990-1991 Drill Program Check Assays

Assay data for the 27 pairs of samples collected for metallurgical testing are compared to the original Ag, Pb and Zn values in the assay database. A summary of the half absolute relative differences between the new values as compared to the original values is given in Table 11-1 below and shows reasonable results for what would be considered field duplicates.

Table 11-1 HARD value summary for Check Assays

	Ag	Pb	Zn
number of samples > 20% HARD	4	4	3
% samples > 20% HARD	14.8%	14.8%	11.1%

Of the 27 samples, just one is significantly different for all three elements considered, with the check assay values showing more than double the database values. This sample was from DH 90-04 in the Oxide west zone, and even though the error is significant in value, because the newer assay values are all higher, not lower, it is of little consequence.

Scatter plots of the remaining 26 pairs are shown in Figure 11-1 through Figure 11-3 below and show acceptable correlation for core, or essentially, field duplicates.




Figure 11-1 Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Ag.




Figure 11-2 Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Pb.




Figure 11-3 Paired Values for 1990-1991 Check Assays, Zn.

These check assays show reasonable correlation and therefore the 1990-1991 data can be accepted at this primarily inferred level of resource estimation. However, for future work at a higher level of confidence, a significant check assay program will be necessary in order to validate this data.

11.5 2006 -2008 QA/QC

Raw and final data underwent a final verification by a British Columbia or Alberta Certified Assayer who signs the Analytical Report before it is released to the client. Chief Assayer at Eco Tech was Jutta Jealouse.

11.5.1 Standard Samples

The insertion of standard samples into the 2006-2008 drilling program comprises approximately 5% of the total assays submitted which is adequate. Six different standard reference materials were used in the drilling campaigns, 2 new ones each year. The standard material was prepared and certified by WCM Minerals from Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada. All six standards include certified values for Zn, Pb, Ag, and Cu. Only Zn, Pb, and Ag were analysed in this study as they are the main metals of interest. Certified values for these standards can be found in Table 11-2. It is of MMTS opinion that the selections of standard reference materials are adequate for control samples. See Appendix B for the Standard certificates.

Table 11-2 Statistics for Standard Reference Material

Value	Standard Reference Material						
		PB 111	PB 112	PB 115	PB 122	PB 129	PB 133
Cu (%)		0.69	0.85	0.53	0.78	0.28	0.29
Pb (%)		2.12	0.92	2.61	1.99	1.24	0.31
Zn (%)		0.45	1.27	1.65	2.42	2.00	1.43
Ag (g/t)		195	222	17	118	23	144

Process control charts are presented which show the sequential results for samples of a known material and are intended to indicate accuracy of analysis results within the sample stream. A summary of these results is given in. The concurrence of assay values not within, the bounds of the +- 2 standard deviation bars indicate that in several cases, the assay results are not within the desired range. These results occur only for standard samples Pb 111 and Pb 112 which are the standards inserted into the 2006 assay streams. Note that in the 2006 assays the standard samples are mostly analyzed below the certified values which indicate either a problem with the composition of the certified material supplied, or a consistent reporting of assay results below actual elemental content due to an anomaly in the laboratory.

Table 11-3 Summary of Process Control Chart Analysis

Element Assay	Standards					
	2006		2007		2008	
	Pb 111	Pb 112	Pb 115	Pb 122	Pb 129	Pb 133
Pb	Low	High	Good	Good	Good	Good
Zn	Low	Low	Good	Good	Good	Good
Ag	Low	Low	Good	Good	Good	Good

Figure 11-4 to Figure 11-21 shows the control charts for the standard samples used. Error bars are centred on certified values and two standard deviations on either side.




Figure 11-4 Standard PB 111 - Pb

Results of Standard PB 111 for Pb are random with a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly undervalued with respect to true Pb values. One values plots below the chart at 1.00% Pb, which most likely is attributed to this assay not being re-run for appropriate detection limits.




Figure 11-5 Standard PB 106 - Zn

Results of Standard PB 106 for Zn display a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly undervalued with respect to true Zn values. Also, the non-randomness of the results could potentially make this standard suspect with respect to Zn values.




Figure 11-6 Standard PB 111 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 111 for Ag display a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly undervalued with respect to true Ag values. One values plots below the chart at 30g/t Ag, which most likely is attributed to this assay not being re-run for appropriate detection limits.




Figure 11-7 Standard PB 112 - Pb

Results of Standard PB 112 for Pb are random with a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly overvalued with respect to true Pb values.




Figure 11-8 Standard PB 112 - Zn

Results of Standard PB 112 for Zn are random with a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly undervalued with respect to true Zn values. One values plots below the chart at 1.00% Zn, which is attributed to this assay not being re-run for appropriate detection limits.




Figure 11-9 Standard PB 112 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 112 for Ag are random with a definite bias indicating assay values may be slightly undervalued with respect to true Ag values. Two values plots below the chart at 30g/t, which is attributed to this assay not being re-run for appropriate detection limits.




Figure 11-10 Standard PB 115 - Pb

Results of Standard PB 115 for Pb are random with a bias indicating assay values may be slightly overvalued with respect to true Pb values.




Figure 11-11 Standard PB 115 - Zn

Results of Standard PB 115 for Zn are random with a nice distribution about the mean.




Figure 11-12 Standard PB 115 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 115 for Ag are random with a slight bias indicating assay values may be slightly overvalued with respect to true Ag values.




Figure 11-13 Standard PB 122 - Pb

Results of Standard PB 122 for Pb are random with a fairly uniform distribution about the standard mean indicating assay values are aligned with the respect to true Pb values.




Figure 11-14 Standard PB 122 - Zn

Results of Standard PB 122 for Pb are random with a slight bias indicating assay values may be slightly overvalued with respect to true Zn values.




Figure 11-15 Standard PB 122 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 122 for Ag are random with a good distribution about the certified standard mean value.




Figure 11-16 Standard PB 129 - Pb

Results of Standard PB 129 for Pb are random with a good distribution about the certified standard mean value.




Figure 11-17 Standard PB 129 - Zn

Results of Standard PB 129 for Zn are random with a good distribution about the certified standard mean value.




Figure 11-18 Standard PB 129 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 129 for Ag are random with a bias above the certified standard mean indicating possible slightly overstated Ag values.




Figure 11-21 Standard PB 133 - Ag

Results of Standard PB 133 for Ag are random with a good distribution about the certified standard mean value.

In summary, the controls on the standards suggest the analytical assay values have reasonable accuracy based on their distribution about the mean of the standard reference material samples. However, there appears to be, in most cases, an analytical bias towards both higher and lower assay results based on these standard samples but MMTS believes the samples are acceptable for resource estimation but that for future studies the accuracy of the 2006 data should be further investigated.

11.5.2 Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates from drill core were inserted into the 2006 to 2008 drilling program sample streams to monitor the labs precision. Coarse reject duplicate samples were inserted into only the 2006 sample stream at a rate of about 3%. These results are shown below in scatter plots of the paired assay values in Figure 11-22 to Figure 11-24.

Overall, the duplicate sample charts demonstrate reasonable accuracy with the lab as the original values and duplicate value have a nice fit about the 1:1 linear fit line. There are a couple of outliers which may be attributed to not re-analyzing the duplicate sample that had values above the limits of the initial assay run.

It is recommended for future work that Blind Creek apply a QAQC program that utilizes a 3 duplicate system: 5%-10% field duplicates, and 3% to 5% pulp duplicates. This will help demonstrate the mineralization variability at different sample size reduction stages.




Figure 11-22 Field Duplicate – Pb Results




Figure 11-23 Field Duplicate – Zn Results




Figure 11-24 Field Duplicate – Ag Results

11.6 Blank Samples

Blank samples were regularly inserted at a rate of 5%. This material was composed of a “granitic grit” material that had low detectable values of Pb, Zn, and Ag. These samples are inserted into the sample batch to monitor the labs “cleanliness” between sample preparations. If the blank sample returns anomalous values this would suggest sample contamination from a previous sample and would make the assay values suspect in a batch.

No data was provided as to the analytical values of the blank sample used so an arithmetic average was used: Pb – 30.0ppm; Zn – 60ppm; and Ag – 0.02g/t. The warning line for Pb and Zn was set at 2 times the arithmetic average and was set 5 times the arithmetic average for Ag.

Based on all three blank control charts in Figure 11-25 to 11-27, it appears there were no major contamination problems with the exception of a few samples that plot above the warning line. MMTS believes this will not influence the overall value of the sample database.




Figure 11-25 Blank Samples – Pb Results




Figure 11-26 Blank Samples – Zn Results




Figure 11-27 Blank Samples – Ag Results

11.6.1 2006-2008 Summary Statement

In summary, the analysis of control samples for the 2006-2008 drilling campaigns give reasonable results, but not ideal. This is primarily due to low accuracy for the 2006 standard samples, lack of field duplicates in all years, and no duplicates at all in 2007 and 2008.. The 2006-2008 data can be accepted at this inferred level of resource estimation. However, for future work at a higher level of confidence, a significant check assay program may be necessary in order to validate this data.

12 Data Verification

For this technical report the author has:

- Reviewed the report for compliance with NI 43-101.
- Reviewed the tables of drill results for 2006 to 2008.
- Reviewed the Technical reports for 2005-2007 prepared by Sharp and filed on the Blind Creek websites or on SEDAR.

The 2006, 2007, and 2008 drill programs have provided verification of mineralization for three of the five zones described in the report (East Central, West Zone, and the Far West Zone). Time constraints and weather prohibited additional data collection.

12.1 Site Visit - 2012

MMTS conducted a site visit to the Blende property on August 8, 2012 and again in September 9-11, 2017. The 2012 site visit was done to verify drillhole locations and to collect check samples from core on the property. Verification was done on core completed within the recent drill programs and verification of the pre-2006 drilling was unavailable. Also, according to the company representative that accompanied MMTS to site there was a large ice dam a year earlier that broke and swept through the core storage area destroying approximately 40% of the core.

Time was limited on the site (approx two hours) in 2012 and along with inclement weather only 4 random drillhole locations were verified and four separate verification samples were collected from three separate holes. Four half-core samples were collected from the same intervals from the original database. Samples were sent to Inspectorate labs from Kamloops, British Columbia. Access to the site was via helicopter from Mayo, NWT. Table 12-1 shows the results of the sample verification. Although there are some differences in the results, the check analysis clearly demonstrates the presence of mineralization. It is of MMTS opinion that the database is sufficiently accurate to allow for initial resource estimation.

Table 12-1 Sample Verification Results

Blende Project Original Assays									Inspectorate 4-Acid			
Hole Number	Sample Number	Verif Sample No	From(m)	To(m)	(Pb+Zn) S (%)	ZnS (%)	PbS (%)	Ag_g_T	Zn+Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Ag (g/t)
BE06100	BE06100-048	C11701	72.9	73.9	2.45	2.45	0	1	1.2047	1.2	0.0047	3.4
BE06100	BE06100-050	C11702	74.9	75.9	1.84	1.83	0.01	1	1.4804	1.47	0.0104	2.5
BE07120	BE07120-015	C11703	24.4	25.4	10.89	10.2	0.69	50.4	9.2118	8.45	0.7618	48.5
BE08127	BE08127-032	C11704	56.6	57.6	8.73695	6.85255	1.8844	68.7	8.94	5.77	3.17	45.7

For hole verification, four collar coordinates were giving to the helicopter pilot who flew to the specific coordinates. All four drill collars were present and accurately flown to the correct hole locations. Drillhole locations for the 2006 to 2008 drill programs were located using a differential GPS and well-marked. MMTS believes the collar locations for the Blende holes are accurately surveyed and the database is reliable.

The Blende assay database was verified in 2013 by looking at analyses from 1038 samples and cross referenced with values from nine separate assay certificates sent directly to MMTS from ALS labs in Kamloops (formerly Eco-Tech). There were seven samples that had a variance of greater than 0.05 with an overall 0.67% error with the original database.

An additional 5% of assay values in the data base were checked against certificates from the 2006 to 2008 drill program in 2018 and no errors were found. It is an MMTS opinion that the assay database is sufficiently good to host resource estimation.

MMTS verified the Blende property land tenure using the Yukon Energy, Mines and Resources online Quartz Claims database.

12.2 Site Visit – September 2017

12.2.1 Specific Gravity Sampling and Testing - 2017

A total of 51 samples were collected for SG testing during a site visit 9-11 September 2017. The samples represent NQ sized drill core gathered from stored core from the 1990 and 1991 drill programs. The samples were selected to cover a range in grade, to include both sulphide and oxide material, and to test both the east and west mineralized zones. Thirty-four samples were collected from the west mineralized zone and seventeen from the east zone. Sample selection was restricted to pieces of core that were competent and approximately 10-15cm long, though occasionally two to three smaller pieces of core were selected.

Typical assay intervals from the 1990-91 drill program were 3.0m, with shorter intervals of 1.0m. It was hoped that the 10-15cm long SG samples would represent the 3m assay interval, but it was found that the raw SG data was too variable to be valuable. A subsequent Pb-Zn assay program was undertaken on the SG samples so that the densities would represent actual assay values.



Figure 12-1 Storage area for 1990-1991 drill core



Figure 12-2 Typical competent drill core sampled for SG test work

12.2.2 Specific Gravity Measurements - 2017

The equipment used to measure specific gravity of rock drill core on the Blind Creek Project is:

- A balance scale with an integral weigh-below hook (Ohaus Explorer Pro Balance)
- A 20 litre bucket with a 17cm X 20cm slot cut through the rim and bucket face and approximately half filled with water
- A board big enough to sit on the bucket, approximately 30cm X 30 cm, with a slot cut in the middle
- A perforated pan, suspended from a hanging apparatus attached to the weigh below hook built into the base of the balance, to sit in the water without touching the sides of the pail.

The Explorer Pro Balance set up used for measuring specific gravity is shown in Figure 12-3.



Figure 12-3 Balance scale and water bucket setup for measuring specific gravity of core

The selection of core to be measured for specific gravity is based on the following criteria. Previously sampled, mineralized core has been chosen from a selection of holes distributed along the length of the deposit, with each piece measured to reflect the overall composition of the total sample. Representative core from each mineralized are has been chosen with samples from both the oxidized zone and the sulfides represented.

Specific gravity of core is measured by first weighing the core in air on top of the balance (W_a), followed by weighing the core in the pan, submerged in water (W_w). The mass in air and mass in water are both recorded in an excel database. The specific gravity is calculated using the equation below.

$$SG = W_a / (W_a - W_w)$$

The samples have then been re-assayed to account for the change in sample size between the sg samples and the original assay sample. This data has been used to create a correlation between the (Pb+Zn) content and the specific gravity. See Section 14 of this report for details.

Table 12-2 The 2017 SG database

DHID	From	To	SG	DHID	From	To	SG
B90-004	45.9	47.76	2.73	B90-018	94.25	97.7	2.78
B90-004	53.64	56.64	2.81	B90-018	97.7	101	2.82
B90-004	56.64	59.64	2.82	B90-018	101	102.63	2.82
B90-004	59.64	62.64	2.9	B90-018	105.32	106.69	2.81
B90-004	107.45	110.45	2.84	B90-018	111	114.82	2.8
B90-004	110.45	113.45	2.88	B90-018	114.82	118.26	2.8
B90-004	113.45	116.45	2.8	B90-018	123	126	2.79
B90-004	116.45	119.45	2.89	B90-018	126	129	2.74
B90-016	77.4	80.05	2.82	B91-025	27.1	30.1	2.84
B90-016	80.05	84.93	2.84	B91-025	44.8	47.8	2.95
B90-005	70.56	73.66	2.81	B91-025	47.8	50.8	2.99
B90-016	84.93	88.1	2.8	B91-025	53.8	56.8	2.84
B90-016	88.1	91	2.78	B91-025	59.8	62.8	2.84
B90-005	85.65	88.9	3.04	B91-025	68.8	71.7	2.89
B90-005	122.94	125.94	2.82	B91-032	7.32	10.32	3.03
B90-005	125.94	126.96	2.8	B91-032	10.32	13.32	3.25
B90-017	75.9	78.15	2.84	B91-034	124	127	2.85
B90-017	78.15	81.1	2.79	B91-034	127	130	2.83
B90-017	81.1	84.32	2.81	B91-034	133	136	2.93
B90-018	10.57	14	2.79	B91-034	142	145	2.99
B90-018	14	17	2.82	B91-034	145	148	2.82
B90-018	26	29	2.71	B91-038	4.4	7.4	3.12
B90-018	29	32	2.82	B91-038	7.4	10.4	3.19
B90-018	41	44	2.84	B91-038	10.4	13.4	2.88
B90-018	44	47	2.82	B91-065	3.05	6.4	2.82
B90-018	91.36	94.25	2.77				

12.2.3 Metallurgical Sampling – 2017

A total of 27 samples were collected for metallurgical testing during a site visit 9-11 September 2017. The samples represent NQ sized drill core gathered from stored core from the 1990 and 1991 drill programs. The samples were selected to cover a range in the degree of oxidation and to test both the east and west mineralized zones. Seventeen samples were collected from the west mineralized zone and ten from the east zone. The degree of oxidation ranged from oxidized material which was considered >50% oxidized, to transitional material with oxidation levels from 15-50%, and sulphide samples with oxidation between 10-15%. The samples represent the entire remaining ½ core from the original samples, which varied from 1.02m to 4.88m in length.

13 Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing

13.1 Historic Data

13.1.1 1991 - Bacon Donaldson Associates

The earliest historical metallurgical results made available for review on the Blende Project were from a test program undertaken by Bacon Donaldson and Associates (BDA) at their mineral testing facility that had been located in Richmond BC. This work was performed on behalf of Billiton Metals Canada Inc., and reported in September 1991.

The test program was conducted on samples originating from drilling done in 1990. The resulting drill core assay rejects were blended and made into four metallurgical composites of varying metal grade and extent of sulphide mineral oxidation. The composites varied from less than 10%, to almost 50% oxidation of lead and zinc. The head grades of the four composites ranged from 1.7% to 3.4% total lead, with the higher grade Pb composites exhibiting a higher degree of lead oxidation. The total zinc varied from 1.9% to 2.9%. Silver head grades were between 17 g/t to 58 g/t and increased with increasing lead grade. The silver which is an important metal value to the project was stated to generally follow the lead in flotation.

The flotation flowsheet was developed on producing separate lead sulphide and lead oxide concentrate, along with a zinc concentrate. Early on in the test program it was determined that due to the high zinc content reporting to the lead concentrate it was most practical to produce a bulk sulphide lead – zinc concentrate, followed by depressing the zinc during lead cleaning to produce a separate product of primarily sphalerite. Bulk sulphide float tailing were then upgraded to produce a lead oxide concentrate. While attempts were made to also produce a zinc oxide concentrate it was concluded by BDA that upgrading was not achievable for the zinc oxide minerals into a saleable concentrate. Among the study conclusions from BDA were:

- The production of saleable lead and zinc concentrates was achieved.
- Fine particle intergrowths were present between lead and zinc minerals, which benefited from finer grinding. A primary grind target was not categorically stated, although a reference was made that much of the lead lost in the bulk float was due to liberation issues. A significant portion of this stream could then be recovered in Pb oxide flotation. A

suggested primary grind target was given as 65% passing 200 mesh (74 microns). The use of regrinding prior to cleaning of the bulk sulphide concentrate and separately the lead oxide rougher concentrate was included in the proposed BDA flowsheet.

- Lead recovery was less sensitive to the degree of sample oxidation than zinc, since separate sulphide and oxide lead concentrates could be produced. Combined oxide and sulphide lead recovery was between 80% to 90% depending on feed grade and characteristics.
- Zinc recovery was susceptible to extent of sample oxidation. While sulfide zinc could approach 90% total recovery, the typical zinc recovery was less than 60% due to oxidation. A significant portion of the sulphide zinc occurs as inclusions within oxide zinc mineralization decreasing the overall recovery.
- Effective removal of iron through use of lime and cyanide was relevant for achieving reasonable grades of final concentrate.

13.1.2 2012 - Hazen Research

13.1.2.1 Sample Origin and Characterization

In July, 2012 Hazen Research Inc., of Golden CO, reported on a test program for the Blende project. The focus of the program was to evaluate a potential pyromet procedure for treating a bulk lead zinc concentrate to then fume off and recover the zinc separately. As part of the program the investigation undertook characterization of feed samples and the response to various gravity separation techniques, and flotation procedures.

The Hazen program was performed on composite samples generated from split diamond drill core generated during a 2008 drill program. Initially eight composite samples were blended from the core originating from two drill holes. Following head analyses, four of these composites were selected for conducting the study. Composites were selected to cover a grade range of the principal metals of interest including silver (Ag), lead (Pb) and zinc (Zn), as well as variability with respect to the sulfide oxidation. A summary of the selected composite origins and head assays are given in Table 13-1 below.

Table 13-1 Composite Location and Head Analyses

Comp. ID	Drill Hole #	Depth (m)	Zn %	Pb %	Cu %	Ag ppm	Fe %	Solids SG
Comp. 1	BE08126	26.5-43.8	0.83	2.91	0.005	52	2.71	2.98
Comp. 2	BE08126	88.0-103.3	5.88	5.93	0.015	147	2.25	3.08
Comp. 5	BE08126	209.5-232.0	2.22	2.53	0.013	24	5.13	3.00
Comp. 7	BE08128	27.4-39.6	3.61	4.76	0.65	76	2.35	2.97

The four composites show considerable variation in content of the principal metals of interest (Pb, Zn, Ag). Copper was noted in one composite (Comp. 7) at a significant concentration of 0.65%. The detailed analyses by Hazen also gave less than detectable amounts of gold (<0.2 ppm Au), platinum (<0.04 ppm Pt) and palladium (<0.04 ppm Pd) in all four of the composite samples.

Characterization studies included X-ray diffraction (XRD), which showed the major gangue mineral to be dolomite in all four composites with subordinate quartz. XRD indicated the presence of sulfides

primarily comprised of galena, sphalerite and pyrite in Comp. 2, 5, 7, but not noted in Comp. 1 (although lesser amounts were identified with microscopy). Chalcopyrite was present in trace amounts for Comp.7 matching up with the chemical analyses. A summary of the XRD is provided in Table 13-2.

Table 13-2 Mineralization by XRD of 2012 Metallurgical Composites

Comp. ID	Major	Sub-major	Minor
1	dolomite	Qtz.,	(trace Ga)
2	dolomite	Qtz., Ga., Sph.	Pyrite
5	dolomite	Qtz.	Pyr., Ga., Sph.
7	dolomite/Qtz.	Ga., Sph.	Pyr. (trace Chalco)

Note: Qtz. = Quartz, Ga.=Galena, Sph.=Sphalerite, Pyr.= Pyrite, Chalco=Chalcopyrite

XRD results was confirmed with optical microscopy that described all the composites as being comprised of primarily carbonates and siliceous gangue, along with corresponding oxides present for Comp. 2, 5 and particularly for Comp. 1 where significant oxidation was noted. Sulfides in Comp. 2, 5, 7 generally consisted of abundant galena and sphalerite with less pyrite and traces of chalcopyrite (more in Comp. 7) as well as tetrahedrite-tennantite. Mild alteration occurred around the rims and cleavage planes of the galena, with fracture filling probably cerussite in the sphalerite. Pyrite alteration varied from minor to extensive with fracturing depending on the composite, also with fracture filling of galena, sphalerite and the siliceous gangue.

For Comp. 2, 5, 7 the sulfides displayed a wide particle size range from a few microns up to ~1 mm. These occur primarily as irregular particles, forming coarse intergrowths (less frequently as discrete particles) with each other and in the gangue, or as minute particles finely disseminated through the gangue.

Further chemical speciation of the base metals, sulfides and carbon is presented in Table 13-3.

Table 13-3 Composites Element Speciation

Comp. ID	Oxide Zinc		Oxide Pb		Sulfur (%)		Carbon (%)	
	%	Portion of total Zn	%	Portion of total Pb	as total	as sulfate	as total	as Inorg
Comp. 1	0.361	44%	1.88	65%	0.12	<0.02	10.1	9.9
Comp. 2	0.528	9.0%	1.22	21%	4.73	0.92	7.6	7.4
Comp. 5	0.036	2.8%	0.268	11%	4.73	<0.02	7.5	7.3
Comp. 7	0.115	3.2%	0.302	6.3%	4.48	0.08	4.3	4.2

The chemical analysis supports the mineralogy indicating the majority of the lead, and nearly half the zinc present as oxides in Comp. 1, as well as only minor sulfur being present. Comp. 2 has the next highest extent of oxidation indicated, followed by Comp. 5 and Comp.7, both showing a minimal extent

of sulfide oxidation. In all the composite samples only a minor portion of the carbon is indicated to be present as organic carbon.

13.1.2.2 Process Summary

Processing studies consisted of preliminary investigations into comminution, gravity, flotation and pyrometallurgical methods which are detailed in Hazen's report. The comminution results are presented in the following table for the Bond Ball Mill Work Index (BWi) and Abrasion Index (Ai) as determined by the Pennsylvania Crusher Method. The results are described by Hazen based on their data base as being below average for grindability and abrasiveness, with the exception of Comp. 7 which is "borderline abrasive".

Table 13-4 Ball Work Index and Abrasion

Comp. ID	BWi kWh/t	Ai g
Comp. 1	11.7	0.0766
Comp. 2	11.2	0.0907
Comp. 5	13.6	0.1668
Comp. 7	14.1	0.3706

While considered to be of moderate hardness, the four composites show significant variation, with softer and less abrasive characteristics evident in the more highly oxidized samples.

Basic scoping level gravity studies were performed using a shaking table and separately by heavy liquid separation (HLS), primarily to investigate if the oxide base metals would respond favorably to gravity concentration at coarser particle size fractions. The HLS was done on material crushed to nominal ¾" (19 mm) and then screened at 6 mesh (3.4 mm) to remove fines. The -6 mesh fines were then assayed in order to provide an overall balance. The selected media SG was 2.90, simulated by using tetrabromoethane (TBE). The results are summarized in the following table and do not include the screened -6 mesh fines.

Table 13-5 HLS Response -3/4"+6 mesh material @ Media SG = 2.90

Composite		Lead		Zinc	
ID	Product	% Pb	% Distr.	% Zn	% Distr.
Comp. 1	Float	41.6	92.3	11.6	82.7
	Sink	0.6	7.6	0.4	17.3
Comp. 2	Float	12.8	84.2	10.6	85.1
	Sink	0.7	15.8	0.6	14.9
Comp. 5	Float	4.9	73.1	6.1	71.2
	Sink	0.3	26.9	0.5	28.8
Comp. 7	Float	15.7	86.6	5.8	85.3
	Sink	1.4	13.4	0.6	14.7

If the screened fines are included with the sink concentrate product then the overall lead, zinc and silver HLS recovery is typically from 90% to 95%. One notable exception was the silver on Comp. 1, which only returned 58% recovery. Other miscellaneous sample intervals tested from the same drill holes as the four composites could exhibit higher metal losses to the float. The initial results suggest HLS is a promising pre-concentration procedure prior to flotation, but that further evaluation is required. Wilfrey® tabling was performed at several size fractions above 75 microns. The results are encouraging in that a partially cleaned concentrate assayed 356 g/t Ag, 24%Pb, accounting for 38% of the lead. Basic flotation of the corresponding minus 75 micron fines and gravity tailing was also investigated so that gravity coupled with other methods for upgrading lead and silver, particularly if associated with oxidized minerals can be further investigation, although the flowsheet is likely better served by direct flotation. Conventional laboratory flotation testing was performed in a Denver D-12 flotation machine incorporating a number of procedures including variations to particle grind size, retention time and reagents. The majority of the flotation work focused on producing a bulk concentrate on which to investigate pyrometallurgical procedures that were specifically requested by the client. The bulk float response of the four composites is provided in Table 13-6.

Table 13-6 2012 Composites - Bulk Flotation Response

Comp	Wt.	Feed			Ro. Conc. Assay			Bulk Tailing			Recovery (%)		
ID	%	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Ag	Pb	Zn
1	7.0	52	2.9	0.8	567	36.3	1.6	7	0.4	0.2	86	88	38
2	21.7	147	5.9	5.9	666	25.1	20.7	7	1.5	1.2	96	82	83
5	18.5	24	2.5	2.2	110	11.9	12.0	<3	0.2	0.1	>88	93	96
7	21.1	76	4.8	3.6	381	26.0	10.9	7	0.4	0.1	94	94	96

With the exception of the zinc in Comp. 1, the results show a reasonable bulk flotation recovery response for the three primary metals of interest. The weight of the un-cleaned concentrates ranged from 7% to 22% of the mass and to a grade range that might be considered for upgrading by other methods, including either differential flotation, or the pyrometallurgical procedures that were evaluated and discussed below.

Initial float scoping studies to separately clean the lead and associated silver from the zinc were performed on Comp. 1 and 5. Comp. 1 provided a lead concentrate grading 63%Pb, 1.9% zinc and 960 g/t silver, recovering approximately 82% of the silver and 79% of the lead to a final concentrate. For Comp. 5 the best results gave a lead concentrate grade of 38% Pb with 290 g/t Ag and 5.4% Zn. Lead recovery was approximately 75%. Comp. 5 resulted in less upgrading of the lead than Comp. 1 despite lower oxidation being evident. This is possibly due to a higher zinc content and more intimate association of the galena and sphalerite in Comp. 5. Further work will be required to optimize the differential and cleaning flotation procedures for the various types of mineralization indicated that should include the use of a sulphidizing agent.

At the request of the property owner fuming (roasting) tests were undertaken on bulk lead / zinc concentrates as a method for evaluation in base metal recovery. The procedure used a small rotating

kiln at various temperatures for typically 60 minutes retention time. Carbon in the form of petroleum coke was added at various ratios to promote reduction of the lead and zinc oxides to better allow volatilization. The ratio of carbon to zinc was varied up to 4:1. Oxygen / aeration rates were varied and nitrogen was used at times to allow for reducing atmosphere during some stages of the roast. Details are provided in Hazen’s report, and summarized in Table 13-7.

Table 13-7 Comp. 1 & 5 - Hazen Research - Summary of Fuming Conditions and Results

Test	Comp. No.	Temp °C	C:Zn Ratio	Ret. Time min	% Extraction	
					Zn	Pb
1	1	950	3:1	60	15.8	25.3
2	1	1000	3:1	60	12.1	21.6
3	1	1080	3:1	60	29.6	27.4
4	1	1000	2:1	60	2.9	27.2
5	1	1000	4:1	60	4.3	27.6
6	1	1000	3:1	45	<1	2.6
7	1	non-conclusive - insufficient sample				
8	5	1080	3:1	60	31.1	70.6
9	5	1400	note 1	60	77.9	92.6
10	5	1300	note 1	60	67.4	97.9
11	5	1000	4:1	60	99.8	99.9

Note 1. carbon provided by graphite boat,

The data indicates the series of initial studies performed at approximately 1000 °C resulted in fuming less than a third of the lead and zinc on Comp. 1. A second phase of testing on Comp. 5 incorporated higher temperatures (up to 1400 °C) that significantly improved extractions. While procedures were not fully optimized, aggressive conditions of 1400 °C, C:Zn ratio @ 4:1 and 1.7 sL/min air flow provided zinc and lead extractions exceeding 99% based on the head versus the calcine analyses. However, as noted by Hazen there was poor accountability to the overall balance that might be explained by precipitation losses during scrubbing or adhesion losses to vessel walls.

The incorporation of an upgrade plant going to pyrometallurgical treatment at the Blende site would require significant capital investment and higher operating costs that ultimately will need to be justified with a more detailed economic study. Silver recovery would need to be accomplished separately, possibly by leaching. All of this would likely need to be supported by a larger resource base than is currently identified at Blende. Until such time a more conventional mineral processing approach of using flotation to produce separate lead and zinc concentrates for sale is envisioned for project advancement.

13.2 2017 Laboratory Study

The most recent metallurgical study was performed in autumn 2017, under supervision and instruction from F. Wright Consulting Inc., at BV Minerals laboratories of Richmond, BC, which is a division of Bureau Veritas Commodities Canada Ltd.

13.2.1 Sample Origin

The 2017 study was performed on samples originating from half splits of archived drill core kept on site since being generated in 1990 and 1991. The metallurgical testing was conducted on five composite samples representing oxide, sulphide and transition materials in the west zone, and for transition and sulphide materials in the east zone. A list of the source and sample identifications that make up these composites is provided in Table 13-8.

A total of 27 samples were collected for metallurgical testing during a site visit 9-11 September 2017. The samples represent NQ sized drill core gathered from stored core from the 1990 and 1991 drill programs. The samples were selected to cover a range in the degree of oxidation and to test both the east and west mineralized zones. Seventeen samples were collected from the west mineralized zone and ten from the east zone. The samples represent the entire remaining ½ core from the original samples, which varied from 1.02m to 4.88m in length.

Table 13-8 2017 Metallurgical Samples

Comp. OX (oxidized material, west zone)			
DH #	From (m)	To (m)	Sample No.
90-04	45.90	47.76	4454
90-04	53.64	56.64	4455
90-17	75.90	78.15	4456
90-18	10.57	14.00	4457
90-18	14.00	17.00	4458
90-18	111.00	114.82	4459
Comp. TW (transition material, west zone)			
90-18	114.82	118.26	4460
90-17	78.15	81.10	4461
90-16	77.40	80.05	4462
90-16	80.05	84.93	4463
90-17	81.10	84.32	4464
Comp. TE (transition material, east zone)			
91-32	10.32	13.32	4471
91-38	7.40	10.40	4472
91-38	10.40	13.40	4473
91-65	3.05	6.40	4474
91-32	7.32	10.32	4475
Comp. SW (sulphides, west zone)			
90-16	84.93	88.10	4465
90-18	26.00	29.00	4466
90-18	101.00	102.63	4467
90-04	107.45	110.45	4468
90-04	116.45	119.45	4469
90-05	125.94	126.96	4470
Comp. SE (sulphides, east zone)			
91-25	27.10	30.10	4476
91-25	53.80	56.80	4477
91-25	68.80	71.70	4478
91-34	142.00	145.00	4479
91-34	145.00	148.00	4480

The samples were composited and assayed for principal elements of interest as well as by multi-element analyses. A summary of the results is presented in Table 13-9.

Table 13-9 Head Analyses 2017 Metallurgical Composite Samples

Items	Unit	Sample ID				
		Comp OX West	Comp TW West	Comp SW Sulphide	Comp TE East	Comp SE Sulphide
Au	g/t	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03
Ag	ppm	43.8	22.3	27.6	64.3	17.3
Pb	%	5.40	3.43	4.14	2.48	1.57
Zn	%	3.24	1.51	1.86	3.49	1.97
Pb(OX)	%	3.94	1.41	1.33	1.27	0.59
Zn(OX)	%	2.33	0.33	0.21	0.33	0.07
Stot	%	1.3	1.56	1.89	9.29	2.82
S(2-)	%	0.1	0.26	0.32	6.37	1.07
Ctot	%	8.2	7.83	7.92	7.73	9.77
Corg	%	1.15	0.48	0.51	1.02	0.8

The results show that the composite samples ranged from 1.6% to 5.4% total lead, 1.5% to 3.5% total zinc, and 22 g/t to 64 g/t silver. In addition, there was a low copper content that varied between 35 ppm to 96 ppm in the composites. Potentially deleterious elements included arsenic at 96 to 220 ppm, antimony at 25 to 122 ppm, and mercury at 3 to 11 ppm.

Based on the limited number of composites for comparison there was some correlation of increasing silver grade with lead grade, with one outlier point as shown in Figure 13-1 below. Generally, there is a poor correlation shown between lead and zinc content as shown in Figure 13-2.




Figure 13-1 Lead vs Silver Content 2017 Metallurgical Composites




Figure 13-2 Zinc vs Lead Content 2017 Metallurgical Composites

Of significance to the process response is the extent of oxidation as measured by the ratio of total sulfur (S) to sulphide sulfur (S^{2-}). A high extent of sulphide oxidation is particularly evident in the three West Zone composites (Comp. OX, TW, and SW), which were all well over 82% oxidized. For the two east zone composites the extent of oxidation was 32% oxidized for the transition zone composite (Comp. TE) and 62% oxidized for the sulphide zone composite (Comp. SE). The extent of oxidation appears considerably higher in the 2017 metallurgical composites than for the resource estimate as a whole. This is likely in part a consequence of exposure of the samples for over two decades in the core boxes on site. This fact needs to be accounted particularly in the flotation response as that generally becomes more challenged as extent of oxidation increases in the feed materials.

13.2.2 Dense Media Separation

As a means of scoping evaluation for dense media separation (DMS) a heavy liquid separation (HLS) test was performed. The HLS was conducted on Comp TW using a particle size range of +6 mm (+1/4") to -25 mm (-1"). The heavy liquid used was Tetrabromoethane (TBE) with a media SG of 2.8. The float and sink products produced were assayed for lead and zinc and presented in Table 13-10.

Table 13-10 HSL Results Comp. TW

Test No.	Comp ID	Product	Weight (%)	Assay		Distribution	
				Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)
HLS 1	Transition, West Zone (TW)	TW Comp -1+1/4" Sink	26.1	8.02	2.90	64.1	56.7
		TW Comp -1/4" U/S	22.5	3.79	2.02	26.1	34.0
		HLS Sink+Fines (-1/4")	48.5	6.06	2.49	90.2	90.7
		TW Comp -1+1/4" Float	51.5	0.62	0.24	9.8	9.3
		<i>Total TW Comp -1+1/4"</i>	<i>77.5</i>	<i>3.11</i>	<i>1.13</i>	<i>73.9</i>	<i>66.0</i>
		Calculated Head	100.0	3.26	1.33	100.0	100.0
		Measured		3.43	1.51		

The results show when the minus ¼" screened fines are included with the sink product slightly over 90% of both the lead and zinc can be recovered at just under half the original feed mass. As a consequence DMS was evaluated on the three West Zone Composites under similar conditions using ferrosilicon as a means of adjusting the specific gravity of the media. A similar particle size range of the feed and media SG as the HLS testing was used for the DMS study. The results are presented in Table 13-11.

Table 13-11 DMS Results - West Zone Composites

Test No.	Comp ID	Product	Weight (%)	Assay			Distribution		
				Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Stot (%)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	Stot (%)
DMS 1	Oxide, West Zone (OX)	OX Comp -1+1/4" Sink	31.3	11.41	6.21	2.74	62.3	56.7	65.6
		OX Comp -1/4" (fines)	18.1	8.57	4.77	1.62	27.0	25.1	22.4
		Fines +Sink	49.3	10.37	5.68	2.33	89.4	81.8	88.0
		OX Comp -1+1/4" Float	50.7	1.20	1.23	0.31	10.6	18.2	12.0
		<i>Total OX Comp -1+1/4"</i>	<i>81.9</i>	<i>5.09</i>	<i>3.13</i>	<i>1.24</i>	<i>73.0</i>	<i>74.9</i>	<i>77.6</i>
		Calculated Head	100.0	5.72	3.43	1.31	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Measured		5.40	3.24	1.30			
DMS 2	Sulphide, West Zone (SW)	SW Comp -1+1/4" Sink	36.6	6.34	3.10	3.00	61.9	65.9	67.6
		SW Comp -1/4" (Fines)	17.1	5.59	2.06	2.08	25.5	20.4	21.9
		Fines +Sink	53.7	6.10	2.77	2.71	87.4	86.3	89.5
		SW Comp -1+1/4" Float	46.3	1.02	0.51	0.37	12.6	13.7	10.5
		<i>Total SW Comp -1+1/4"</i>	<i>82.9</i>	<i>3.37</i>	<i>1.65</i>	<i>1.53</i>	<i>74.5</i>	<i>79.6</i>	<i>78.1</i>
		Calculated Head	100.0	3.75	1.72	1.63	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Measured		4.14	1.86	1.89			
DMS 3	Transition, West Zone (TW)	TW Comp -1+1/4" Sink	25.2	7.92	3.89	3.85	63.7	63.4	61.3
		TW Comp -1/4" (Fines)	19.3	3.42	1.63	1.70	21.1	20.4	20.8
		Fines +Sink	44.5	5.97	2.91	2.92	84.8	83.8	82.1
		TW Comp -1+1/4" Float	55.5	0.86	0.45	0.51	15.2	16.2	17.9
		<i>Total TW Comp -1+1/4"</i>	<i>80.7</i>	<i>3.07</i>	<i>1.52</i>	<i>1.55</i>	<i>78.9</i>	<i>79.6</i>	<i>79.2</i>
		Calculated Head	100.0	3.13	1.55	1.58	100.0	100.0	100.0
		Measured		3.43	1.51	1.56			

The results of the DMS show somewhat less metal recovery than HLS, ranging in the upper eighty percent range for lead, and in the lower to mid eighty percent range for zinc, when combined with the screened fines. The mass rejection remained roughly at half. The somewhat lower response with DMS might be attributed to a slight difference in media specific gravity as a result of using the ferrosilicon. Regardless, the DMS procedures appear to be a promising approach for further evaluation as pre-concentration prior to flotation.

13.2.3 Flotation

A series of differential flotation tests were performed on the composites beginning with kinetic flotation studies to establish separation response of galena and sphalerite, including some initial optimization for a primary grind target. The methods used standard procedures to first float lead sulphide minerals and depressing zinc sulphide in the Pb circuit, using zinc sulphate (ZnSO₄) either alone, or in combination with small amounts of sodium cyanide (NaCN). The zinc was then reactivated for flotation with copper sulphate (CuSO₄). Typically, a combination of 3418A and A241 was used as mineral collector for lead sulphide, and SIPX was used for zinc flotation. Lime was added as pH modifier. Lead rougher flotation was conducted at pH 9.1, while zinc rougher flotation was at pH 10.2. Optional procedures to scavenge oxide lead minerals were investigated following zinc flotation. The initial tests were performed on Comp. SE and then by altering the primary grind for Comp. TW. A summary of the results is presented in Table 13-12.

Table 13-12 Rougher Flotation Results

Test No.	Composite ID	Grind size P80, µm	Product ID	Mass, %	Assay, %		Distribution, %	
					Pb	Zn	Pb	Zn
F1	Comp SE (sulphide zone east)	85	Pb Rougher Concentrate	15.03	10.20	4.49	95.22	31.10
			Zn Rougher Concentrate	7.36	0.39	18.75	1.77	63.56
			Pb OX Rougher Concentrate	6.02	0.33	0.62	1.23	1.71
			Total Ro Concentrate	28.41	5.56	7.37	98.22	96.37
			Flotation Tailings	71.59	0.04	0.11	1.78	3.63
F2	Comp TW (transition zone west)	86	Pb Rougher Concentrate	16.98	18.00	3.16	87.31	32.84
			Zn Rougher Concentrate	8.53	1.44	9.82	3.52	51.26
			Pb OX Rougher Concentrate	9.00	1.17	0.56	3.00	3.09
			Total Ro Concentrate	34.51	9.52	4.13	93.82	87.18
			Flotation Tailings	65.49	0.33	0.32	6.18	12.82
F3	Comp TW (transition zone west)	56	Pb Rougher Concentrate	19.66	15.56	3.13	88.15	35.21
			Zn Rougher Concentrate	8.82	1.39	9.98	3.53	50.38
			Pb OX Rougher Concentrate	9.53	1.47	0.56	4.04	3.06
			Total Ro Concentrate	38.01	8.74	4.08	95.71	88.66
			Flotation Tailings	61.99	0.24	0.32	4.29	11.34
F4	Comp TW (transition zone west)	113	Pb Rougher Concentrate	18.35	15.34	3.23	86.35	36.78
			Zn Rougher Concentrate	6.99	1.46	10.70	3.14	46.44
			Pb OX Rougher Concentrate	8.11	1.76	0.63	4.39	3.15
			Total Ro Concentrate	33.45	9.15	4.16	93.88	86.37
			Flotation Tailings	66.55	0.30	0.33	6.12	13.63

The initial test (F1) on Comp. SE was encouraging providing a total rougher lead recovery of 98% and a total rougher zinc recovery of 96%. Altering the grind (tests F2-F4) on Comp. TW showed in the 80% passing (P₈₀) particle size range of 56 to 113 µm, a finer grind only slightly benefited metal recovery and lead / zinc separation. Therefore a coarser primary grind with regrind of the bulk lead concentrate would be worthy of investigation. This was reinforced by the fact that approximately 1/3 of the zinc

reported to the lead rougher concentrate. The flotation kinetics at the various grind sizes also showed no significant differences as indicated in Figure 13-3 below.




Figure 13-3 Comp. TW Rougher Flotation Response vs Grind

Following the rougher evaluation the program undertook four scoping cleaner tests with three stages of cleaning for both the lead and zinc. The procedures used a similar reagent scheme as the rougher kinetic tests, and incorporated regrind of the bulk rougher lead concentrate. A single test was performed on each of Comp. TW, SW and OX. A second test was performed on Comp. SW in order to evaluate a lower depressant dosage. Results outlining the critical aspects of lead and zinc response in the lead circuit are summarized in Table 13-13. The reported 3rd cleaner recoveries are for open cycle and do not include the cleaner tailing, a significant portion of which would be recycled in locked cycle or continuous procedures.

Table 13-13 Lead - Open Cycle Cleaner Flotation Results

Test No.	Comp. ID	Ro Conc regrind	Pb Ro Conc						3rd Cl Conc			
			Mass, %	Grade, %		Recovery, %		Mass, %	Grade, %		Recovery, %	
				Pb	Zn	Pb	Zn		Pb	Zn	Pb	Zn
F5	Comp TW	Yes	18.0	13.9	3.23	84.6	34.5	4.3	47.6	4.92	68.2	12.4
F6	Comp SW	Yes	15.8	21.1	8.86	83.8	71.7	4.6	50.0	7.51	57.8	17.7
F7	Comp SW	Yes	10.6	33.1	5.66	83.6	27.9	4.9	65.9	6.74	77.7	15.5
F8	Comp OX	Yes	9.7	28.4	4.4	49.5	12.4	3.1	55.6	4.0	31.2	3.6

The results for test F5 on Comp. TW gave a lead concentrate assaying 47.6% Pb and 385 ppm Ag in grade, providing for a respective Pb and Ag recovery of 68% and 73%. This indicates the silver values readily follow the lead mineralization. Zinc sulphide flotation resulted in a third cleaner zinc concentrate containing 45% Zn, with much of zinc is reporting to lead, highlighting the need for further work on this separation.

Test F6 and Test F7 were both performed on Comp. SW; with F6 using a lower dosage of zinc sulphate depressant, but augmenting it with sodium cyanide. The F6 results produced a lead concentrate containing 50% Pb and 823 ppm Ag, at Pb and Ag recovery of 58%. F7 improved on these results going back to the F5 procedure using additional zinc sulphate without NaCN. The F7 achieved a lead cleaner concentrate that graded at 66% Pb at 78% Pb recovery. Recovery can be improved by balancing the grade / recovery relationship for the final concentrate. Also for F7 the zinc concentrate grade was 47% Zn, with 57% recovery.

Test F8 was performed on Comp. OX, which is the oxidized composite from the west zone. The test used the same reagent recipe as F7. This composite feed assayed 5.4% total Pb with 3.94% Pb presented as oxide Pb. In the lead sulphide flotation stage a lead concentrate grading 55.6% Pb was achieved, albeit with a significantly lower Pb final open cycle lead recovery of 31.2%. Zinc sulphide flotation circuit resulted with a zinc concentrate assaying 45% Zn in grade at a zinc recovery of only 15% in the final open cycle concentrate. Total Pb and Zn rougher flotation recoveries were 62% and 36%, respectively. Final tailings assayed 2.59% Pb and 2.69% Zn. Attempts to scavenge further oxide lead in a final separate circuit were not successful and additional evaluation will be required.

13.3 Summary and Recommendations

All of the metallurgical studies performed to date on the Blende Property are considered to be preliminary in nature. Both the historic and recent 2017 studies show that the Blende resource has a positive response to conventional DMS and differential froth flotation techniques depending on the extent of oxidation in the test samples. Further testing should continue to optimize this approach, with further evaluation of the BDA procedure of an initial bulk Pb/Zn float, versus direct differential separation of lead and zinc. Initial procedures for scavenging oxide lead and associated silver values was more challenging and will require further modifications to the procedure. Test work moving forward into pre-feasibility should focus on using fresh representative drill core samples. Emphasis on the oxide versus sulphide content, along with grade / mineralization characteristics of the samples in matching the resource and proposed mine plan will be important considerations.

14 Mineral Resource Estimates

14.1 Introduction

The Mineral Resource Statement for the Blende Property Zn-Pb-Ag deposit has been created by Sue Bird, P. Eng (APEGBC #25007) of MMTS in accordance with CIM Standards and the Canadian Securities National Instrument 43-101.

The Blende property is located in and around the Mt. Williams area, which is ~64km north of Keno Hill, Yukon Territory, Canada. The mineral resource estimate has been generated from drillhole sample assays and trench sampling. A geologic model has been created based on the spatial distribution of the zinc, lead and silver for five distinct Blende deposits which are modelled as separate domains.

Statistical analyses (cumulative probability plots, histograms, classic statistical values) of the assay data is used to confirm the domain selection and to determine if capping of metal grades for variography and interpolation is necessary. Assay data is then composited, honoring the domain and the overburden boundaries. Composite statistics have been compiled for comparison with assay and block model data. The composites are used to create correlograms for Zn, Pb, Ag grades, thus establishing rotation and aiding in the determination of search criteria for the block model interpolation.

Validation of each model is completed by comparisons of the modeled block grades with de-clustered composite values (Nearest Neighbour values). A volume-variance correction factor is applied to the de-clustered data to calibrate the model using Grade-Tonnage curves. Further model validation is completed using swath plots, CPP plots, as well by a visual inspection in section and plan across each mineralized domain.

The mineral resources have been classified as Indicated or Inferred based on the drillhole spacing and number of composite available to inform a block in the model and according to CIM standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves (CIM, 2014).

Specific gravities for mineralized material, waste material and overburden in each area are based on the density sampling program completed in 2017 and summarized in Section 12 of this report.

14.2 Resource

The Resource Estimate for the Blende deposit is summarized below in Table 14-1 and Table 14-2 summarizing the Indicated and Inferred Resource respectively. The resource has been summarized at varying Zinc Equivalent (ZnEqv) cutoffs with the Base Case highlighted at 2% ZnEqv (NSR=\$CDN39.35).

The highlighted cutoff is considered appropriate as the base case, based on costs and payables from comparable deposits, recoveries from current metallurgical testing as well as current and recent metal prices. The ZnEqv equation used is supplied as a footnote to the tables, with details on price, recovery, payables and cost parameters given later in Section 14 within the discussion on the Lerchs-Grossman optimization used to constrain the Resource.

MMTS is not aware of any known environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource Estimate. The effective date of this Resource estimate is March 27, 2018.

Perspective views of the Resource pits for the West/Far West Zones and for the East Zone are illustrated in Figure 14-1 and Figure 14-2 respectively, with the modelled Indicated and Inferred blocks above a ZnEqv of 2.0% shown.

Table 14-1 Blende Deposit Indicated Mineral Resource

Pit Area	Cutoff ZnEqv ¹ (%)	In situ Tonnage (ktonnes)	In situ Grades						In situ Metal Content		
			ZnEqv (%)	Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Ag (gpt)	NSR (\$CDN/t)	OXRAT ²	Zn (Mlbs)	Pb (Mlbs)	Ag (koz)
West Pit	1.5	2,852	5.18	1.69	2.06	41.7	101.97	0.09	106	129	3,827
	2.0	2,585	5.54	1.78	2.21	45.0	108.93	0.10	102	126	3,740
	2.5	2,300	5.94	1.88	2.38	49.0	116.95	0.10	95	121	3,623
	3.0	2,015	6.40	1.99	2.58	53.6	125.90	0.10	88	114	3,472
	3.5	1,733	6.91	2.11	2.79	59.1	136.02	0.10	81	106	3,295
	4.0	1,472	7.47	2.23	3.02	65.5	147.07	0.10	72	98	3,100
	5.0	1,061	8.63	2.39	3.53	80.6	169.80	0.11	56	83	2,750
East Pit	1.5	1,231	3.97	2.30	1.19	11.9	78.19	0.06	62	32	470
	2.0	1,068	4.31	2.45	1.32	13.2	84.79	0.06	58	31	452
	2.5	855	4.83	2.64	1.56	15.3	94.94	0.06	50	29	422
	3.0	647	5.49	2.84	1.89	18.5	108.06	0.07	41	27	384
	3.5	487	6.23	3.02	2.28	22.3	122.55	0.07	32	25	350
	4.0	387	6.87	3.14	2.66	25.9	135.25	0.08	27	23	322
	5.0	288	7.71	3.24	3.20	30.6	151.75	0.08	21	20	283
Total	1.5	4,083	4.82	1.87	1.80	32.7	94.80	0.08	169	162	4,297
	2.0	3,654	5.18	1.98	1.95	35.7	101.87	0.08	159	157	4,192
	2.5	3,155	5.64	2.08	2.16	39.9	110.98	0.09	145	150	4,044
	3.0	2,662	6.18	2.19	2.41	45.1	121.56	0.09	129	141	3,856
	3.5	2,220	6.76	2.31	2.68	51.1	133.07	0.09	113	131	3,645
	4.0	1,859	7.35	2.42	2.95	57.3	144.60	0.10	99	121	3,422
	5.0	1,349	8.43	2.57	3.46	69.9	165.95	0.10	76	103	3,032

1. ZnEqv calculation:

$$ZnEq = Zn\% + (Pb\% * 1.0 * 0.85 * 0.95) / (1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85) + \left(\frac{Aggpt}{31.1034} * 19 * 0.90 * 0.80 \right) / (1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85 * 22.0462)$$

Uses price assumptions of: \$US1.20/lb Zn, \$US1.00/lb Pb, and \$US19.00/oz Ag and an exchange rate of US\$0.80 = \$1CDN. Metal recoveries are based on metallurgical studies done in 2017 on both the oxide and sulfides material, and are: 70% Zn, 85% Pb and 90% Ag (10% to Zn concentrate and 80% to Pb concentrate). Payables based on comparable smelter terms and a 3% NSR Royalty are; 85% Zn, 95% Pb and 80% Ag.

2. OXRAT = (Pb+Zn)_{oxides} / (Pb+Zn)_{total}

Table 14-2 Blende Deposit Inferred Mineral Resource

Pit Area	Cutoff ZnEqv ¹ (%)	In situ Tonnage (ktonnes)	In situ Grades						In situ Metal Content		
			ZnEq (%)	Zn (%)	Pb (%)	Ag (gpt)	NSR (\$CDN/t)	OXRAT ²	Zn (Mlbs)	Pb (Mlbs)	Ag (koz)
West Pit	1.5	32,533	4.87	1.85	1.87	32.4	95.85	0.25	1,325	1,343	33,900
	2.0	29,538	5.19	1.96	2.00	34.7	102.09	0.24	1,278	1,300	32,955
	2.5	26,623	5.51	2.07	2.12	37.1	108.41	0.24	1,217	1,245	31,773
	3.0	23,293	5.90	2.20	2.28	40.3	116.17	0.23	1,128	1,172	30,185
	3.5	20,037	6.34	2.32	2.47	43.9	124.66	0.23	1,024	1,089	28,306
	4.0	16,815	6.83	2.44	2.69	48.4	134.42	0.22	903	998	26,166
	5.0	11,695	7.87	2.63	3.18	58.8	154.82	0.22	678	819	22,105
East Pit	1.5	4,296	3.27	2.21	0.74	8.1	64.28	0.06	209	70	1,123
	2.0	3,441	3.64	2.42	0.85	9.3	71.66	0.06	183	65	1,024
	2.5	2,552	4.13	2.67	1.02	10.9	81.18	0.06	150	57	894
	3.0	1,658	4.87	3.00	1.32	13.8	95.91	0.06	110	48	736
	3.5	1,113	5.68	3.26	1.71	17.4	111.81	0.07	80	42	621
	4.0	778	6.53	3.46	2.18	21.3	128.45	0.07	59	37	532
	5.0	493	7.73	3.64	2.93	27.9	152.13	0.08	40	32	443
Total	1.5	36,829	4.68	1.89	1.74	29.6	92.17	0.22	1,534	1,413	35,022
	2.0	32,979	5.03	2.01	1.88	32.0	98.91	0.22	1,461	1,364	33,980
	2.5	29,175	5.39	2.13	2.02	34.8	106.03	0.22	1,367	1,302	32,668
	3.0	24,951	5.84	2.25	2.22	38.5	114.82	0.22	1,238	1,220	30,921
	3.5	21,150	6.30	2.37	2.43	42.5	123.99	0.22	1,104	1,131	28,927
	4.0	17,594	6.82	2.48	2.67	47.2	134.15	0.21	962	1,035	26,699
	5.0	12,188	7.86	2.67	3.17	57.5	154.71	0.21	718	851	22,548

1. ZnEqv calculation:

$$ZnEQ = Zn\% + (Pb\% * 1.0 * 0.85 * 0.95) / (1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85) + \left(\frac{Aggpt}{31.1034} * 19 * 0.90 * 0.80 \right) / (1.2 * 0.70 * 0.85 * 22.0462)$$

Uses price assumptions of: \$US1.20/lb Zn, \$US1.00/lb Pb, and \$US19.00/oz Ag and an exchange rate of US\$0.80 = \$1CDN. Metal recoveries are based on metallurgical studies done in 2017 on both the oxide and sulfides material, and are: 70% Zn, 85% Pb and 90% Ag (10% to Zn concentrate and 80% to Pb concentrate). Payables based on comparable smelter terms and a 3% NSR Royalty are; 85% Zn, 95% Pb and 80% Ag.

2. OXRAT = (Pb+Zn)_{oxides} / (Pb+Zn)_{total}

Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that mineral resources will be converted into mineral reserves.




Figure 14-1 West and Far West Zones - View looking N30W showing Constraining Pit (grey) with ZnEqv blocks above 2% Cutoff




Figure 14-2 East Zone - View looking N30W showing Constraining Pit (grey) with ZnEqv blocks above 2% Cutoff

14.3 Block Model

Table 14-3 shows the block model parameters for the Blende resource. The model block size has been chosen based on a reasonable standard mining unit (SMU) for an open pit operation.

Table 14-3 Block Model Parameters

Blende Model Parameters			
	X (columns)	Y (rows)	Z (levels)
Origin (lower left)	517980	7140370	1950
Block Size (m)	6	6	6
Number of Blocks	130	647	161
Rotation	54 degrees counter clockwise about the origin		
Composite Lengths	3m (down hole composites within domain solids)		

14.4 Domain Modelling

Geologic Domain boundaries used in the modelling are based on a combination of geologic controls and Implicit Modelling of gradeshells. MineSight’s Implicit Modelling Tool (MSIM®) interpolates point data to generate a Radial Basis Function (RBF) which is output as a surface used for Domain modelling. The surface generation accounts for the deposit anisotropy based on the known geologic trends and variography of the composited drillhole data.

Gradeshells at approximately 2% Zn and 5% Zn are used in the East Zone, with gradeshells at 2% Zn and fault controls are used in the West and Far West Zone. Using a lower cutoff than the cutoff used for the Base Case Resource Estimate is done to allow for dilution on the edges of the mineralization and internal dilution.

The block model is coded to allow up to 3 domains in a block coded as Domain Code, and Percent of Domain within the block. The final block grade is a weighted average of all domains within the block. Finally, the overburden percent of the block is given a zero grade and the grade and tonnage adjusted for overburden accordingly. Table 14-4 is a summary of the domain codes.

Table 14-4 Domain Codes for Geologic Domains

Domain	Domain Code
West Zone	1
West Breccia	3
East Zone – 2% Zn	5
East Zone – 5% Zn	6
All Zones – below 2% Zn	7

See Figure 14-3 for a plan view of the East and West Domains and the Sections Lines used in this Chapter of the report. Figure 14-4 and Figure 14-4 show sections at C-C' for the West Zone Domains and Section E-E' for the East Zones Domains. These sections illustrate the assay and model domain coding for each area.




Figure 14-3 Plan Map of Domains showing Section Lines




Figure 14-4 West and West Breccia Zones at Section C-C' - Domain coding of Assays and Model




Figure 14-5 East Zone at Section E-E' showing Domain coding of Assays and Model

14.5 Assay Data

A total of 119 diamond drill holes with assay data and 11 trenches with channel sampling were used in the Resource Estimate. An additional 13 holes drilled in 1991 in the periphery of the deposit were not used in the Resource.

Table 14-5 summarizes the statistics for each metal within each domain used during interpolation for the Resource calculations.

Table 14-5 Summary Statistics by Domain - Assays

Parameter	Zn (%)				Pb (%)				Ag (gpt)			
	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6
# Samples	719	1157	686	169	719	1157	686	169	719	1157	686	169
# Missing	6	31	7	0	6	31	7	0	6	31	7	0
Min	0.006	0	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Max	22.7	11.7	10.2	11.4	30.1	22.9	9.84	18.7	770	452.6	221	583
Wtd.mean	2.079	1.565	2.056	3.753	2.560	1.262	0.517	3.489	47.114	26.089	6.317	36.232
Weighted SD	2.54	1.91	1.75	2.16	3.65	2.14	0.98	3.26	77.49	46.49	10.46	48.13
Weighted CV	1.22	1.22	0.85	0.58	1.42	1.70	1.90	0.94	1.65	1.78	1.66	1.33

14.5.1 Trench vs. DDH Assay Bias Check

To determine any possible bias in the trench data collection and assaying, the trench assays are compared with adjacent DDH assay data where the two exist reasonably close. Table 14-6 summarizes this comparison. The Zn data compares well with only a 3% difference in grades for all samples compared. Pb and Ag report lower grades for the trench data

Table 14-6 Trench Assay Data compared to DDH Assay Data

TRENCH	PARAMETER	ZN-ASY	ZN-TRENCH	PB-ASY	PB-TRENCH	AG-ASY	AG-TRENCH
A	Num Samples	21	13	21	13	21	13
	Min Grade	0.136	0.17	0.101	0.27	1.4	0.69
	Max Grade	3.33	2.77	6.65	4.62	52.3	78.17
	Wtd. Mean Grade	1.99	1.40	1.94	2.23	17.83	24.88
	Weighted CV	0.48	0.55	0.92	0.68	0.88	1.04
E	Num Samples	10	12	10	12	10	12
	Min Grade	0.96	1.99	0.38	0.24	8.23	7.54
	Max Grade	5.79	5.22	4.58	1.17	121.03	42.51
	Wtd. Mean Grade	2.84	4.14	1.66	0.75	35.36	25.98
	Weighted CV	0.53	0.32	0.61	0.46	0.79	0.34
F	Num Samples	3	22	3	22	3	22
	Min Grade	0.56	1.2	0.17	0.3	5.14	7.54
	Max Grade	5.55	8.22	4.99	4	168	77.49
	Wtd. Mean Grade	4.30	4.25	3.79	1.58	104.97	33.21
	Weighted CV	0.47	0.53	0.51	0.82	0.59	0.79
J	Num Samples	9	12	9	12	9	12
	Min Grade	0.13	0.46	0.03	1.06	1.03	19.89
	Max Grade	7.8	5.47	22.9	7.59	452.6	397.71
	Wtd. Mean Grade	3.27	2.98	5.84	3.72	138.29	131.51
	Weighted CV	0.89	0.63	1.21	0.65	1.13	0.92
ALL	Num Samples	43	59	43	59	43	59
	Min Grade	0.13	0.17	0.03	0.24	1.03	0.69
	Max Grade	7.80	8.22	22.90	7.59	452.60	397.71
	Wtd. Mean Grade	3.10	3.19	3.31	2.07	74.11	53.90
	Weighted CV	0.59	0.51	0.82	0.65	0.85	0.77

14.5.2 Cumulative Probability Plots (CPP) and Capping

To assess the need for capping, cumulative probability plots (CPP) have been made for each area for the Domains. Figure 14-6 through Figure 14-8 illustrates the CPP plots for total Zn, Pb and Ag respectively. Figure 14-9 and Figure 14-10 are the CPPs for the oxide component of Zn and Pb. In each case the lognormal distribution is examined for linearity, and a capping value is assigned based on outliers at the higher cutoffs. The resulting capping values are summarized in Table 14-7.




Figure 14-6 CPP of Total Zn by Domain




Figure 14-7 CPP of Total Pb by Domain




Figure 14-8 CPP of Total Ag by Domain




Figure 14-9 CPP of Total Zn - Oxide by Domain




Figure 14-10 CPP of Total Pb - Oxide by Domain

Table 14-7 Capping Values by Metal and Domain

Parameter	Capping Value by Domain				
	1	3	5	6	7
Pb - total %	30	16	999	20	15
Zn - total %	999	999	10	10	15
Ag (gpt)	1000	300	40	200	200
Pb - oxide %	10	999	999	999	10
Zn - oxide %	999	10	999	999	6

14.6 Composite Data

Assay data was composited to 3metres down the drillholes and honored the Domain boundaries. Composites that were less than 1.5 metre, typically at the end of the composite run were added to the previous composite. To ensure that compositing has been done correctly, the length weighted mean grades for the composites are compared to those of the original assay data. Table 14-8 summarizes the composite statistics and compares the grade values between assays and composites.

Table 14-8 Summary of Composite Statistics and Comparison with Assays

Parameter	Zn (%)				Pb (%)				Ag (gpt)			
	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6
# Samples	489	604	353	85	489	604	353	85	489	604	353	85
# Missing	11	144	34	0	11	144	34	0	11	144	34	0
Min	0.02	0.005	0.018	0.39	0.011	0.004	0.006	0.16	0.34	0.1	0.34	2.06
Max	13.26	9.52	9.8	9.18	21.2	22.73	6.09	12.23	566.95	449.25	111.9	156.34
Wtd.mean	2.08	1.56	2.06	3.75	2.59	1.25	0.52	3.49	47.74	25.96	6.34	36.23
Weighted SD	2.20	1.73	1.50	1.75	3.17	1.96	0.84	2.57	67.76	43.27	8.31	31.23
Weighted CV	1.05	1.11	0.73	0.47	1.22	1.57	1.63	0.74	1.42	1.67	1.31	0.86
Comparison with Assay Grades:												
Wtd.mean - ASSAYS	2.08	1.57	2.06	3.75	2.56	1.26	0.52	3.49	47.11	26.09	6.32	36.23
DIFFERENCE (%)	0%	-1%	0%	0%	1%	-1%	0%	0%	1%	-1%	0%	0%

14.7 Variography

Variograms have been used to determine appropriate search distances and anisotropy during interpolation. The variogram parameters are also used in the calculations necessary for Volume-Variance corrections during Block Model Validation (see Section 14.9), and to determine the approximate drillholes spacing required for Classification to Measured and Indicated (see Section 14.10). Table 14-9 through Table 14-11 summarizes the variogram modelling parameters used by Domain, for Zn, Pb and Ag respectively. Figure 14-11 through Figure 14-13 provides illustration of the variography for each metal.

Table 14-9 Variogram – Major – Zn

Domain	Rotation (GSLIB-MS)		Axis	Total Range (ft)	Nugget	Sill1	Sill2	Range 1 (ft)	Range 2 (ft)
3	ROT	295	Major	120	0.4	0.4	0.2	40	120
	DIPN	5	Minor	100				20	100
	DIPE	-85	Vert	90				20	90
1,5,6	ROT	295	Major	160	0.35	0.4	0.25	40	160
	DIPN	10	Minor	70				20	70
	DIPE	55	Vert	100				35	100

Table 14-10 Variogram – Major – Pb

Domain	Rotation (GSLIB-MS)		Axis	Total Range (ft)	Nugget	Sill1	Sill2	Sill3	Range 1 (ft)	Range 2 (ft)	Range 3 (ft)
3	ROT	295	Major	180	0.4	0.3	0.3		50	180	
	DIPN	5	Minor	90					20	90	
	DIPE	-80	Vert	50					20	50	
1,5,6	ROT	295	Major	120	0.35	0.25	0.15	0.25	40	120	240
	DIPN	-10	Minor	70					30	70	200
	DIPE	55	Vert	50					10	50	80

Table 14-11 Variogram – Major – Ag

Domain	Rotation (GSLIB-MS)		Axis	Total Range (ft)	Nugget	Sill1	Sill2	Sill3	Range 1 (ft)	Range 2 (ft)	Range 3 (ft)
3	ROT	295	Major	80	0.4	0.3	0.3		20	80	
	DIPN	5	Minor	50					15	50	
	DIPE	-80	Vert	50					15	50	
1,5,6	ROT	295	Major	120	0.35	0.25	0.15	0.25	40	120	240
	DIPN	-10	Minor	70					30	70	200
	DIPE	55	Vert	50					10	50	80




Figure 14-11 Variograms – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 - Zn




Figure 14-12 Variogram – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 – Pb




Figure 14-13 Variogram – Major and Minor Axes – Domain 1,5,6 – Ag

14.8 Interpolation Parameters

Interpolation has been done using Ordinary Kriging (OK) to estimate the grades of Zn-total, Pb-total and Ag-total as well as Zn-sulfides and Pb-sulfides for all domains. The interpolation is done in 4 passes with each pass of greater distance to ensure that well informed blocks use only adjacent data. The maximum number of composites is 8 for all passes. The combination of a minimum requirement of 4 composites and a maximum of 2 composites per drillhole ensure that at least two drillholes are used for all block grade estimates for all passes except the final pass.

Table 14-12 Summary of Composite Restrictions for each Interpolation Pass

Parameters	Pass			
	1	2	3	4
Minimum Comps	4	4	4	2
Maximum Comps	8	8	8	8
Maximum/Hole	2	2	2	2
Maximum/Split Quadrant	2	2	2	2

Search parameters are based on the variography and are summarized in Table 14-13.

Table 14-13 Summary of Search Parameters for each Interpolation Pass

Metal	Domain	Rot	Distance for Each Interpolation Pass			
			Dist1	Dist 2	Dist3	Dist4
Zn	3	295	30	90	120	150
		0	20	75	100	125
		-85	20	67.5	90	112.5
	1,5,6,7	295	40	120	160	200
		10	17.5	52.5	70	87.5
		55	25	75	100	125
Pb	3	295	45	135	180	225
		0	20	67.5	90	112.5
		-80	12.5	37.5	50	62.5
	1,5,6,7	295	30	90	120	240
		-10	17.5	52.5	70	200
		55	10	37.5	50	80
Ag	3	295	20	60	80	100
		0	12.5	37.5	50	62.5
		-80	12.5	37.5	50	62.5
	1,5,6,7	295	30	90	120	240
		-10	17.5	52.5	70	200
		55	10	37.5	50	80

In addition to the above specifications for interpolation, the composites have had outlier restriction” applied by domain. This is based on CPPs of the composited data to determine if outliers exist. When applied, the distance that a composites at or above the outlier value is reduced to 4m-6m. For distances beyond this, the value at the outlier cutoff is used. A summary of the values and allowable distances is in the table below.

Table 14-14 Summary of Outlier Restriction Values by Domain

Parameter	Outlier Restriction Value by Domain				
	1	3	5	6	7
Pb - total , Pb-sulf (%)	11	11	12	12	4
Zn - total , Zn-sulf (%)	9	4.5	9	9	6
Ag - total (gpt)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

14.9 Specific Gravity Values

Specific Gravity measurements were done in September of 2017 as discussed in Section 12 of this report. A total of 51 measurements of sg were made. Due to the difference in sample size between the sg samples and the original assay sample, all sg samples have also been re-assayed. The resulting relationship between Zn+Pb and sg is plotted in the figure below. A regression through the entire data set has the formula as shown in the graph. This formula is used to assign sg values to the blocks based on the final (Zn+Pb) block grade.




Figure 14-14 Scatterplot of (Zn+Pb) Grade vs. Specific Gravity (sg)

14.10 Resource Classification

Resource classification to Indicated and Inferred are based on the variography. For classification, an additional interpolation to the nearest two drillholes is done to obtain the average distance to the closest two drillholes. Blocks with at least two drillholes within the distance corresponding approximately to Range at 80% of the correlogram sill are considered Indicated. Classification of extrapolated blocks to Indicated is limited in the West Zone. All other blocks that have been interpolated with a Zn grade but do not pass the above criteria are classified as Inferred.

14.11 Reasonable Prospect of Economic Extraction Pit

The resource has been confined to a “reasonable prospect of economic extraction” shape using Lerchs-Grossman pit optimizations to define a potentially economic open pit shell. The metal prices used for the pit optimization are:

- \$US1.20/lb Zn
- \$US1.00/lb Pb
- \$US19.00/oz Ag
- Exchange rate of US\$0.80 = \$1CDN

Metal recoveries are based on metallurgical studies done in 2017 on both the oxide and sulfides material, and are:

- 70% Zn,
- 85% Pb and 90%
- Ag (10% to Zn concentrate and 80% to Pb concentrate)

Payables based on comparable smelter terms and a 3% NSR Royalty are:

- 85% Zn,
- 95% Pb
- 80% Ag

The Zinc Equivalent (ZnEq) calculation uses the assumed prices, recoveries and payables resulting in the following equation:

$$ZnEQ = Zn\% + (Pb\% \cdot 1.0 \cdot 0.85 \cdot 0.95) / (1.2 \cdot 0.70 \cdot 0.85) + \left(\frac{Agppt}{31.1034} \cdot 19 \cdot 0.90 \cdot 0.80 \right) / (1.2 \cdot 0.70 \cdot 0.85 \cdot 22.0462)$$

Mining costs used for the “reasonable prospects of economic extraction” pit shapes are \$CDN1.88/tonne for all material within the potential open pits. Processing, G&A, Surface Services and Tailings costs used have a total of \$CDN37.50/tonne material milled. Costs are based on comparable Zn-Pb-Ag projects in North America. Open pit slopes are 45 degrees.

14.12 Model Validation

Comparison of the modelled grades to the de-clustered composite grades (Nearest Neighbor interpolation) is summarized in Table 14-15. The grades compare well for all domains.

Table 14-15 Comparison of Modelled and De-Clustered Composite (NN) Grades

Model	Parameter	Domain 1,3,5,6		
		Zn	Pb	Ag
KRIGED	Num Samples	87869	87869	87869
	Num Missing Samples	260392	260392	260392
	Weighted mean	1.617	1.426	24.304
	Weighted CV	0.704	0.984	1.502
NN	Num Samples	88243	88243	88243
	Num Missing Samples	260018	260018	260018
	Weighted mean	1.687	1.465	24.436
	Weighted CV	0.963	1.281	1.552
Difference		-4.3%	-2.8%	-0.5%

Swath plots have also been used to compare the modelled grades to the de-clustered composite data. Swath plots in the Northing, Easting and Vertical direction are illustrated in Figure 14-15 through Figure 14-17 for Zn, Pb and Ag respectively. They plot illustrate reasonable correlation of grades throughout the model where sufficient data is present.




Figure 14-15 Swath Plots – Zn – West Pit




Figure 14-16 Swath Plots – Pb – West Pit




Figure 14-17 Swath Plots – Ag – West Pit

Grade-tonnage curves have been created to ensure that the correct amount of smoothing has been applied to the modelled grades. Figure 14-18 through Figure 14-20 illustrates the Grade-tonnage curve comparisons for Zn, Pb and Ag respectively. These illustrate, for Zn and Pb that the modelled grades compare well to the de-clustered composite data which has been corrected for Volume-Variance affects (NNC curves). The Ag G-T curve indicates less smoothing than the Ag-NNC curve. The Volume-Variance correction applied is the indirect lognormal Correction (ILC). This is a theoretical correction factor based on the variography (block variance), mean grades and coefficient of variation (C.V.) to determine the corrected grade of each block.




Figure 14-18 Grade-Tonnage Curves – Zn




Figure 14-19 Grade-Tonnage Curves – Pb




Figure 14-20 Grade-Tonnage Curves – Ag

Visual validation of modelled grades to the assay data has been done by comparison of grades in section and plan. Figure 14-21 through Figure 14-26 illustrates the comparisons for Zn, Pb and Ag respectively.




Figure 14-21 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Zn Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'




Figure 14-22 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Pb Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'




Figure 14-23 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Ag Grades – WEST ZONE - Section A-A'




Figure 14-24 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Zn Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'




Figure 14-25 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Pb Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'




Figure 14-26 Visual comparison of Assay and Modelled Ag Grades – EAST ZONE - Section E-E'

15 Mineral Reserve Estimates

There are no reserves yet determined for this project.

16 Mining Method

No mining method study has been completed.

17 Recovery Methods

Preliminary metallurgy testing is summarized in Item 13.

18 Project Infrastructure

Infrastructure has been reported in Item 5.

19 Market Studies and Contracts

No marketing studies have been completed to date.

20 Environmental Studies, Permitting and Social or Community Impact

Environmental Considerations

In 1991 Archer Cathro and Billiton Resources Canada Inc. obtained approval of the Resource Management office through a Land Use Permit; however, work within the claim boundaries has to date been undertaken through the regulations of the Quartz Mining Act (1924) which require no extra permitting. Low impact activities, such as prospecting, line cutting, geochemical and geophysical surveys are generally permitted without delay.

Water quality surveys were initiated in 1990 and hydrometric monitoring in 1991. These studies have consistently shown that there are no water quality anomalies in the surface waters draining the Blende property and heavy metal concentrations continue to be low or non-detectable. This is directly related to the carbonate rock which hosts all mineralization on the Blende property and effectively buffers the pH of streams draining the area. Water quality and flow studies were started again in the fall of 2006 and are ongoing for the streams on the mineral claims. A minimum of two years data is required for evaluation of physical, chemical and biological features for mine development purposes.

Because of the dominantly carbonate lithologies underlying the claim group and because most of the mineralization is not massive sulphides the potential for any appreciable acid drainage from normal exploration activities is therefore considered to be minimal.

First Nations

The following paragraphs outline the position of the First Nation of Nacho Nyak Dun, from their website <http://nndfn.com>.

The First Nation of Nacho Nyak Dun represents the most northerly community of the Northern Tutchone language and culture group. The NND First Nation resides in the community of Mayo, Yukon, and a town that had its beginnings during the boom years of the various silver mines in the area. Mayo was serviced by sternwheeler boats until the Klondike Highway/Silver Trail was built in the 1950's. The Nacho Nyak Dun has a number of members who claim Gwichin ancestry from the north and the Mackenzie people of Eastern Yukon.

The **Nacho Nyak Dun** in the Mayo area is closely affiliated with the adjoining Northern Tutchone First Nations of Pelly Selkirk and the Carmacks Little Salmon First Nation. These three First Nations form the Northern Tutchone Tribal Council, an organization which deals with matters and issues that affect them. The First Nation has been very active in the Land Claims movement since its beginnings in 1973. Members of the Nacho Nyak Dun First Nation were instrumental in helping to guide the Council of Yukon First Nations and its member First Nations to their 1993 agreements.

The NND today has a membership of 602. As a self-governing First Nation, the Nacho Nyak Dun has the ability to make laws on behalf of their citizens and their lands. Under the land claims agreement, the First Nation now owns 4,739.68 square kilometres of settlement lands and has received in compensation \$14,554,654.00 for which a trust has been established. The First Nation has been actively involved in affairs of the Mayo community, attempting to promote a better, healthier lifestyle for its future generations and a strong economy based on its rich natural resources. The Blende property lies north and east of one of the large settlement land blocks. This block could contain additional zinc-lead-silver deposits. The Chief of the band is Chief Ed Champion, Box 220, Mayo, Yukon, M0B 1M0, Ph: (867) 996-2265, Fax: (867) 996-2107, e-mail: main@nndfn.com, website: www.nndfn.com.

Communities

The Village of Mayo was established in 1903 and Incorporated 1984. Mayo, Yukon is located in the central part of the Yukon Territory, which is in the Na Cho Nyak Dun traditional territory. The highway serving our region connects the communities of Stewart Crossing, Mayo, Keno City, and the mining ghost town of Elsa. The Village of Mayo offers services, including two motels, eating facilities, post office, liquor store, propane and gas, grocery store, swimming pool, nursing station, RCMP, airport, and float plane services. There is also a lodge located at Halfway Lakes, 26km north of Mayo. Mayo's Mayor is Scott Bolton, E-mail: mayo@northwestel.net Mailing Address P.O. Box 160, Mayo, Yukon, Y0B 1M0, Phone (867) 996-2317 Fax (867) 996-2907.

21 Capital and Operating Costs

No work on capital or operating costs has been completed to date.

22 Economic Analysis

No economic analyses have been completed to date.

23 Adjacent Properties

Information on Adjacent Properties was given in detail in the 2004 NI 43-101, "Price Report" and 2005 NI 43-101 report on the Blende Property by R.J. Sharp, previously filed on SEDAR.

The RAU property, owned by ATAC Resources Ltd., is located approximately 10km south of the Blende property. The Rau trend is defined to a length of 35km and contains the Ocelot Ag-Pb-Zn deposit as well as the Tiger gold deposit and numerous gold anomalies.

A PEA completed on the Tiger deposit estimated approximately 302,307 ounces of gold produced at an average undiluted grade of 3.81 g/t gold for an NPV_(5%) of \$106.6 million and an IRR of 34.8% before tax, and an NPV_(5%) of \$75.7 million and an IRR of 28.2% after tax, with an all-in sustaining cost of US\$864/oz. ATAC Resources is in the process of permitting a winter road to the property.

The Ocelot discovery was made in 2009 with mineralization near surface. There have been 24 drillholes with 4,918m of drilling results. Numerous high grade intercepts include 37.91 m of 188.07 g/t silver, 8.69% lead and 6.06% zinc in hole OC-11-11.

24 Other Relevant Data and Information

Winter Trail Access

The Federal Government guarantees a right of way to mineral lands and so application was made by Archer Cathro and Billiton Resources Canada Inc. for an access route through this area. A winter trail was then constructed from the Beaver River along Williams Creek for about 8km to the property. This was completed in November, 1991 and the trail now establishes the easternmost boundary of the Mayo (Na Cho Nyak Dun) land claim. (Figure 7-2) This trail will assist in any future transportation of heavy equipment to and from the property and could be upgraded to a haulage road.

The writer is not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of the technical report which is not reflected in the technical report, the omission of which would make the technical report misleading.

25 Interpretation and Conclusions

Diamond drilling, geological mapping, prospecting and geochemical surveying from 2006 to 2008, carried out on behalf of Blind Creek by Eagle Plains Resources Ltd, tested the areas of known mineralization and explored for extensions to them. Surface mapping and diamond drilling has advanced knowledge of controls on the distribution of mineralization along the Blende structural axis.

Past exploration drilling has defined multiple zones of mineralization on the Blende property (Far West Zone, West Zone, West Brx Zone, East Central and East North Zones); sufficient to conclude these areas contain adequate continuity with respect to structure and mineralization to initially host an Inferred resource estimation. Additionally, a significant amount of potential resource underlies, or is outside, the pit limits and should undergo further engineering studies to determine if these resources are economical and feasible to extract via underground mining.

The Blende property is a property of merit deserving of additional exploration efforts.

26 Recommendations

Additional geological investigation should include a program to laterally extend known mineralization and also to test the down dip extension of known mineralization. Also, a program of infill drilling is recommended to increasingly test continuity of mineralization between existing drill sections. This will aid in upgrading the confidence level of the Blende resource. A suitable program of specific gravity determination is also recommended on existing core and any new drilling on the property.

Also, additional metallurgical investigations are recommended to determine appropriate metal recoveries – see recommendations from Section 13.

A proposed work program includes:

- Major infill drilling at the Far West Zone, West Zone-area and East-area zones to increase confidence in the resource estimation. Program should also concentrate on obtaining a good database of specific gravity measurements.
- Exploration drilling in the Far East Zone to properly identify and delineate zones of mineralization.
- Continual metallurgical studies to better determine appropriate process procedures and optimal recoveries.
- Although the Central Zone has seen limited drilling, it requires further geologic mapping, and needs to be put in the newly understood structural context, prior to any serious drill program.
- Additional geological mapping and reconnaissance contour soil sampling on the northwest, southeast and northern extensions of the claim group.

An Estimated Budget is shown below in Table 26-1.

Table 26-1 Blende Project Estimated Budget

Field Related Items Only	Approx. Totals
Drilling	\$1,200,000
Metallurgical Studies	\$250,000
Geochemistry	\$100,000
Geological	\$150,000
Miscellaneous	\$250,000
TOTAL	\$1,950,000

Note: Although care has been taken in the preparation of these estimates, the authors do not guarantee that the above described program can be completed for the estimated costs. Additional quotes and budgeting should be done when financing is in place prior to the start of the program, when quotes can be obtained for supplies and services.

27 References

- Abbott, J.G., Gordey, S.P., Roots, C. and Turner, R.J., 1990, Selwyn-Wernecke cross-sections, Yukon: a joint Indian and Northern Affairs Canada - Geological Survey of Canada project. In: *Current Research, Part E, Paper 90-1E, Geological Survey of Canada, p. 1-3.*
- Abbott, J.G., 1990, *Geology of the Mt. Westman map area (106D/1). Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 1990-1.*
- Abbott, Grant (1997), *Geology of the Upper Hart River Area, Eastern Ogilvie Mountains, Yukon Territory. Bulletin 9, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.*
- Bell, R.T., 1978, *Breccias and uranium mineralization in the Wernecke Mountains, Yukon—a progress report. In: Current Research, Paper 78-1A, Geological Survey of Canada, p. 317-322.*
- Bell, R.T., 1986a, *Geological map of northeastern Wernecke Mountains, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Open-File 1207.*
- Bell, R.T., 1986b, *Megabreccias in northeastern Wernecke Mountains, Yukon Territory. In: Current Research, Paper 86-1A, Geological Survey of Canada, p. 375-384.*
- Boyle, R.W., 1965, *Geology - Keno Hill-Galena Hill Area. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1147A. NTS 105M, 106D*
- Bowerman, M., 2006. *Report on the 2006 Field Mapping Program conducted on the Blende Mineral Claims, Yukon Territory. Private report submitted to Eagle Plains Resources Ltd, October 2006.*
- Cecile, M.P., 1982, *The lower Paleozoic Misty Creek embayment, Selwyn Basin, Yukon and Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 335, 78 p. (includes map). NTS 105M, 105N, 105O, 106B, 106C, 106D, 106E, 106F*
- CIM, 2014. *CIM DEFINITION STANDARDS - For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves*
- Delaney, G.D., 1978, *Stratigraphic investigations of the lowermost succession of Proterozoic rocks, northern Wernecke Mountains, Yukon Territory. Open File 1978-10, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (report and maps) NTS 106C, 106D, 106F.*
- Delaney, G.D., 1981, *The mid-Proterozoic Wernecke Supergroup, Wernecke Mountains, Yukon Territory. In: Campbell, F.H.A. (ed.), Proterozoic Basins of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 81-10, p. 1-23.*
- Gabrielse, H. and Yorath, C.J., (eds.), 1991, *Geology of the Cordilleran Orogen in Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, No. 4, 844 p. Geological Survey of Canada, Regional Stream Sediment and Water Geochemical Reconnaissance Data -NTS 106D, parts of 106C, 106E, 106F.*
- Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 2175. Green, L.H., 1970a, *Geology of McQuesten Lake, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1269A, scale 1:50,000.*
- Green, L.H., 1970b, *Geology of Scougale Creek, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1269A, scale 1:50,000.*
- Green, L.H., 1972, *Geology of Nash Creek, Larsen Creek, and Dawson Creek map-areas, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 364 (includes map 1282A).*
- Hazen Research, Inc., July 2012, *Physical Upgrading and Thermal Processing of a Zinc-Lead-Silver Ore, Hazen Project 11269.*

Heginbottom, J.A. and Radburn, L.K. (comp.), 1992, Permafrost and ground ice conditions of northwestern Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1691A, scale 1:1,000,000. Indian and Northern Affairs, 1995, Yukon MinFile 106D -Nash Creek. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada.

Lister, D., and Eaton, D., (1989); Blende Property 1989 Final Report. Assessment Report No 1092795, for NDU Resources Ltd and Billiton Resources Canada Inc., dated December 1989 Moroskat, M., 2006. The paragenesis of the Blende Zn-Pb-Ag Deposit, Yukon Territory. Private company report for Eagle Plains Resources Ltd.

Mustard, P.S., Roots, C.F. and Donaldson, J.A., 1990, Stratigraphy of the middle Proterozoic Gillespie Lake Group in the southern Wernecke Mountains, Yukon. In: Current Research, Part E, Paper 90-1E, Geological Survey of Canada, p. 43-53. Norris, D.K., 1984, Geology of the northern Yukon and northwestern District of MacKenzie. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1581A, scale 1:500,000. NTS 116SE, 116NE, 106SW, 106NW, 117SE, 107SW

Price, B.J., 2004, Technical Report on the Blende Zinc – Lead – Silver Deposit. Prepared for Eagle Plains Resources Ltd., dated August 15 2004

Robinson M, Godwin C I, 1995 -Genesis of the Blende Carbonate-hosted Zn-Pb-Ag deposit, North-central Yukon Territory: geologic, fluid inclusion and isotopic constraints; in Econ. Geol. v90 pp 369-384

Rogers, J.J.W, 1996, A History of Continents in the Past Three Billion years. The Journal of Geology, V104, p. 91-107.

Roots, C., 1990, Geology of 106D/8 and 106D/7 (east half) map areas. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 1990-3.

Sharp, R.J., 2005. Technical Report on the Blende Zinc – Lead – Silver Deposit. Prepared for Blind Creek Resources Ltd, dated February 24, 2005

Sharp, R.J., 2005. Technical Report on the Blende Zinc – Lead – Silver Deposit. Prepared for Blind Creek Resources Ltd., dated August 14, 2007

Thorkelson, D.J., 2000, Geology and Mineral Occurrences of the Slat Creek, Fairchild Lake and “Dolores Creek” areas, Wernecke Mountains (106D16, 106C/16, 106C/14), Yukon Territory. Bulletin 10, Exploration and Geology Services Division, Yukon Region, 73p.

Thorkelson, D.J. and Wallace, C.A., 1993, Geological map of Slat Creek (106D/16) map area, Wernecke Mountains, Yukon. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs, Canada, Canada/Yukon Economic Development Agreement, Geoscience Open File 1993-2 (G) (scale 1:50,000).

Vernon, P. and Hughes, O.L., 1966, Surficial geology, Dawson, Larsen Creek and Nash Creek map-areas. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 136, 25 p.

Vernon, P. and Hughes, O.L., 1965, Surficial Geology, Nash Creek, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1172A, scale 1:253,440.

Wheeler, J.O., Brookfield, A.J., Gabrielse, H., Monger, J.W.H., Tipper, H.W. and Woodsworth, G.J., 1991, Terrane map of the Canadian Cordillera. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1713.

Wheeler, J.O. and McFeely, P., 1991, Tectonic Assemblage map of the Canadian Cordillera and adjacent parts of the United States of America. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1712A.

Williams, G.K., 1988, A review of the Bonnet Plume area, east-central Yukon Territory (including Snake River, Solo Creek, Noisy Creek and Royal Creek areas). Geological Survey of Canada, Open File Report 1742. NTS 106C, 106D, 106E, 106F

**Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project**

Assessment Reports CYPRUS ANVIL MINING CORP., 1975. Assessment Report #090076 by W.J. Roberts and P. Dean. ARCHER CATHRO AND ASSOCIATES (1981) LTD, Jun/95. Assessment Report #093288 by W.D. Eaton. ARCHER CATHRO AND ASSOCIATES (1981) LTD, 1982. Assessment Report #090988 by W.D. Eaton and A.R. Archer. ARCHER CATHRO AND ASSOCIATES (1981) LTD, 1983. Assessment Report #091475 by W.D. Eaton. ARCHER CATHRO AND ASSOCIATES (1981) LTD, 1984. Assessment Report #091586 by R.C. Carne and R.J. Cathro. CANADIAN NICKEL COMPANY LTD, 1985. Assessment Report #091665 by W. Greneweg. NDU RESOURCES LTD, 1988. Assessment Report #062294 by J.P. Franzen. NDU RESOURCES LTD, 1989. Assessment Report #092683 by M. Phillips. NDU RESOURCES LTD, 1989. Assessment Report #092684 by J. Franzen. NDU RESOURCES LTD, 1989. Assessment Report #092795 by W.D. Eaton. NDU RESOURCES LTD, 1991. Assessment Report #092942 by W.D. Eaton. EAGLE PLAINS RESOURCES LTD, 2003. Assessment Report for the Blende Property, Mix 1-16 Claims by C.C. Downie and C.S. Gallagher.

EAGLE PLAINS RESOURCES LTD, 2006. Assessment Report for the Blende Property, Mix 1-16, Trix 1-56, Trax 1-28, Max 1-153 Claims by R.J. Sharp and C.S. Gallagher.

EAGLE PLAINS RESOURCES LTD, 2007. Assessment Report for the Blende Property, Mix 1-16, Trix 1-56, Trax 1-28, Max 1-153 Claims by C.C. Downie and C.S. Gallagher.

EAGLE PLAINS RESOURCES LTD, 2008. 2008 Diamond Drilling Report for the Blende Property, Mix 1-16, Trix 1-56, Trax 1-28, Max 1-161 Claims by C.C. Downie and M. McCuaig.

Other Sources

EAGLE PLAINS RESOURCES LTD, News Release, 02 Apr/2002. GEORGE CROSS NEWS LETTER, 24 Aug/90; 6 Dec/90; 30 April/91; 30 May/91; 25 Jun/91; 31 Jul/91; 8 Aug/91; 27 Nov/91; 16 Sep/95.

MINERAL INDUSTRY REPORT, 1975. Yukon Territory, p. 60. NORTHERN MINER, 29 Jul/91, p. 19. ROOTS, C.F., 1990. New Geological maps for Southern Wernecke Mountains, Yukon. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 90-1E, p. 5-13. YUKON MINING AND EXPLORATION OVERVIEW, 1988, p. 31; 1989, p. 7. YUKON EXPLORATION AND GEOLOGY, 1981 p. 195-196; 1983 p. 233-234. YUKON EXPLORATION, 1985-1986, p. 296; 1990, p. 8, 11, 17, 19-20; 1991, p. 6, 8, 12.

References: Nash Creek Map Area - N.T.S. 106D

APPENDIX A – List of Drillhole Collars and Trench Locations

DDH_ID	NAD83_East	NAD83_North	Elev	Length (m)	ZONE	STATUS	Type
B88-001	516021.00	7142402.90	1773.53	222.59	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B88-002	516021.00	7142402.90	1773.53	244.14	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B88-003	516021.00	7142402.90	1773.53	253.59	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-004	516130.20	7142320.30	1774.25	236.22	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-005	516089.58	7142274.11	1738.15	292.91	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-006	515993.10	7142327.30	1730.15	313.03	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-007	515914.69	7142378.49	1749.45	299.62	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-008	516188.59	7142250.15	1778.80	119.02	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-009	515948.94	7142427.78	1779.18	224.03	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-010	515845.04	7142455.50	1798.71	213.06	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-011	515750.78	7142515.39	1843.92	189.59	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-012	515715.92	7142444.14	1813.32	278.6	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-013	515715.92	7142444.14	1813.32	291.08	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-014	515797.40	7142381.35	1761.32	236.83	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-015	516026.19	7142366.57	1758.93	261.21	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-016	516038.79	7142306.76	1733.89	281.94	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-017	515939.82	7142336.67	1730.27	274.32	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B90-018	515959.17	7142262.95	1691.75	148.44	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-019	517875.16	7141008.89	1308.62	202.7	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-020	517815.38	7140928.62	1302.40	212.8	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-021	515587.96	7142449.83	1834.35	316.69	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-022	517994.85	7141166.83	1318.30	175.87	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-023	517792.89	7141066.05	1281.52	194.15	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-024	517759.73	7140849.33	1289.09	167.64	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-025	517920.40	7141073.87	1310.73	143.56	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-026	517845.49	7141150.37	1289.72	163.7	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-027	515569.70	7142421.41	1824.26	325.98	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-028	517740.19	7140982.57	1276.39	154.53	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-029	517949.79	7140944.81	1329.01	221.29	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-030	516221.97	7142300.63	1811.96	141.12	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-031	517721.70	7140798.07	1281.60	117.96	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-032	517973.31	7140975.23	1331.39	136.25	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-033	515446.12	7142411.04	1820.13	388.01	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-034	517897.20	7140857.46	1322.34	243.84	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-035	517897.20	7140857.46	1322.34	154.53	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-036	518065.64	7140935.17	1358.33	193.55	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-037	515569.70	7142421.40	1824.25	211.23	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH



**Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project**

B91-038	517938.34	7141001.46	1321.85	203.3	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-039	517859.06	7141052.57	1297.32	166.42	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-040	516124.59	7142158.39	1735.89	240.47	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-041	517952.51	7141116.49	1316.44	93.27	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-042	517657.72	7140851.21	1267.39	218.54	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-043	515839.92	7142258.47	1683.46	154.53	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-044	517674.05	7141052.37	1261.40	158.5	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-045	516045.68	7142196.52	1691.40	227.69	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-046	517944.61	7140841.87	1328.18	225.86	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-047	516045.68	7142196.52	1691.40	205.79	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-048	517860.85	7140890.02	1315.94	214	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-049	516071.72	7142082.18	1674.00	162.76	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-050	517567.26	7140891.66	1241.49	167.9	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-051	515901.88	7142179.77	1637.21	245.67	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-052	517726.87	7141145.03	1266.23	163.68	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-053	517632.70	7141214.40	1240.67	185.01	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-054	515789.57	7142176.29	1638.55	265.48	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-055	517574.30	7141265.20	1252.00	148.47	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-056	517495.90	7141336.60	1257.51	169.77	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-057	515963.54	7142090.66	1632.62	258.17	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-058	517504.90	7141148.80	1228.26	141.12	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-059	517419.70	7141011.30	1212.22	138.99	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-060	515855.68	7142300.29	1707.20	338.63	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-061	517291.70	7141564.20	1388.06	141.12	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-062	517072.10	7141277.33	1363.61	118.57	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-063	517183.79	7141591.59	1455.69	161.24	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-064	516108.95	7141957.06	1668.94	155.75	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-065	517794.25	7141161.44	1273.65	78.64	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-066	515763.34	7142321.95	1729.49	179.83	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-067	517102.01	7141645.71	1513.01	178	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-068	517885.11	7141101.52	1302.49	101.5	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-069	517968.53	7140802.40	1330.01	222.8	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-070	516930.45	7141375.35	1471.29	135.03	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-071	517139.18	7141373.06	1377.80	175.87	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-072	518054.11	7140746.58	1357.45	240.49	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-073	516795.70	7141386.90	1499.45	121.31	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-074	517003.16	7141665.02	1570.91	239.9	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-075	517968.54	7141041.55	1320.23	138.99	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-076	516687.80	7141924.50	1760.34	157.89	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-077	517698.08	7140913.38	1271.07	142.65	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-078	516496.97	7142030.89	1775.45	166.73	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH



**Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project**

B91-079	516908.10	7141708.10	1629.53	185.01	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
B91-080	515979.17	7141608.38	1466.38	125.27	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-081	515439.60	7142709.40	1609.41	55.48	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-082	515495.00	7142721.60	1618.21	99.06	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-083	515505.10	7142743.90	1610.03	106.68	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-084	515457.00	7142756.40	1585.28	71.93	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-085	515457.00	7142756.40	1585.28	106.98	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-086	515412.80	7142764.50	1568.12	59.44	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
B94-087	515419.30	7142805.00	1549.37	96.01	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06088	517898.98	7141042.80	1308.04	176.8	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06089	517875.79	7141007.76	1308.98	186.2	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06090	517938.99	7141096.87	1314.02	230.1	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06091	517974.82	7141149.23	1316.51	147.2	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06092	517838.55	7141028.45	1295.73	153.9	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06093	517871.13	7141077.61	1298.41	199.6	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06094	517903.09	7141126.23	1305.59	144.8	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06095	517911.77	7140960.64	1322.65	224.9	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06096	517997.53	7141089.03	1328.12	123.4	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06097	517887.18	7140924.61	1318.97	260.6	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06098	517860.56	7140813.56	1316.52	255.4	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06099	517931.00	7140891.47	1325.70	250.3	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06100	518023.79	7141050.35	1339.06	147.8	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06101	518057.73	7141100.45	1342.19	121.9	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06102	518170.63	7141086.76	1378.94	234.7	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06103	516131.62	7142318.90	1774.56	32	WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE06104	516038.19	7142397.97	1773.94	203.1	WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE06105	517816.24	7141106.80	1285.75	150.9	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06106	517695.29	7141200.04	1251.79	260.1	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06107	517393.00	7141364.26	1301.92	242.4	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06108	516087.47	7142275.13	1737.75	160.1	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06109	517749.44	7141049.44	1272.89	207.3	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE06110	517720.42	7141028.29	1270.67	122.3	EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07111	516738.20	7141806.80	1728.98	313.7	CENTRAL	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07112	519809.70	7139405.70		325.6	FAR EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07113	519809.70	7139405.70		350	FAR EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07114	519809.70	7139405.70		374.7	FAR EAST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07115	515489.37	7142764.44	1592.00	291.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07116	515489.37	7142764.44	1592.00	273.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07117	515489.37	7142764.44	1592.00	213.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07118	515415.85	7142802.24	1549.62	209.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07119	515415.85	7142802.24	1549.62	109	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH



**Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project**

BE07120	515415.85	7142802.24	1549.62	90.8	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE07121	515333.25	7142847.42	1503.63	155.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07122	515333.25	7142847.42	1503.63	185.3	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07123	515333.25	7142847.42	1503.63	170.4	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE07124	515333.25	7142847.42	1503.63	152.1	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE07125	515333.25	7142847.42	1503.63	196.3	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE08126	516086.00	7142376.00	1779.76	319.7	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE08127	516017.00	7142237.00	1693.27	258.8	WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE08128	515403.00	7142689.00	1619.29	112.5	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE08129	515403.00	7142689.00	1619.29	141.7	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
BE08130	515403.00	7142689.00	1619.29	18.3	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE08131	515509.00	7142682.00	1646.79	69.2	FAR WEST	ABANDONED	DDH
BE08132	515509.00	7142682.00	1646.79	127.1	FAR WEST	COMPLETE	DDH
TrenchA	516153.5165	7142491.498	1712.83	32.2	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchB	516068.8853	7142527.955	1714.07	36.1	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchC	515939.3101	7142586.837	1744.42	14.65	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchD	515901.1702	7142611.272	1764.9	35.01	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchE	515849.6843	7142625.744	1798.13	30.6	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchF	515855.6397	7142638.001	1782.92	47.3	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchG	515810.6589	7142622.046	1823.16	21.44	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchH1	515803.5977	7142628.446	1812.75	8.2	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchH2	515803.1345	7142656.598	1806.23	13.3	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchI	515508.8152	7142718.292	1625.62	25	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH
TrenchJ	515451.3778	7142741.798	1590.51	28	WEST	COMPLETE	TRENCH

APPENDIX B – Standard Certificates

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WCM MINERALS

A Division of WCM Sales Ltd.

PB 111

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

LAB	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3
Replicate	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
1	0.69	2.11	0.44	195	0.694	2.08	0.44	193	0.669	2.08	0.48	190
2	0.69	2.11	0.43	191	0.701	2.10	0.45	204	0.675	2.10	0.46	187
3	0.70	2.14	0.43	193	0.709	2.13	0.45	207	0.678	2.08	0.48	190
4	0.68	2.12	0.43	191	0.701	2.10	0.45	194	0.682	2.09	0.47	191
5						2.19	0.48	196				
6						2.19	0.46	200				
7								201				
Average	0.690 0	2.120 0	0.432 5	192.500 0	0.701 3	2.131 7	0.455 0	199.28 6	0.676 0	2.087 5	0.472 5	189.500 0
Std Dev	0.008 2	0.014 1	0.005 0	1.9149	0.006 1	0.047 9	0.013 8	5.2190	0.005 5	0.009 6	0.009 6	1.7321
Average	0.689	2.116	0.454	194.9								
Std Dev.	0.012 4	0.036 3	0.018 6	5.7180								
Report	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t								
	0.69	2.12	0.45	195								

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS WCM MINERALS

A Division of WCM Sales Ltd.

PB 112

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

LAB	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3
Replicate	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
1	0.85	0.93	1.26	218	0.837	0.91	1.25	226	0.844	0.95	1.29	221
2	0.85	0.92	1.25	220	0.838	0.90	1.23	220	0.856	0.94	1.31	225
3	0.85	0.92	1.26	221	0.835	0.89	1.22	223	0.847	0.94	1.32	223
4	0.85	0.92	1.27	222	0.845	0.90	1.23	222	0.851	0.96	1.31	222
5		0.91	1.26	224				227				
6		0.90	1.27	220								
7				224								
Average	0.85	0.92	1.26	221.29	0.839	0.90	1.23	223.60	0.850	0.95	1.31	222.75
Std Dev	0	0.0103	0.0075	2.2147	0.0043	0.0082	0.0126	2.8810	0.0052	0.0096	0.0126	1.7078
Average	0.846	0.921	1.266	222.38								
Std Dev.	0.0065	0.0209	0.0313	2.4187								
Report	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t								
	0.85	0.92	1.27	222								

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WCM MINERALS

A Division of WCM Sales Ltd.

PB 115

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

LAB	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	Lab4
Replicate	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Zn%
1	0.54	2.65	1.66	16	0.544	2.62	1.69	18	0.496	2.59	1.56	15.8	1.61
2	0.54	2.68	1.66	18	0.533	2.57	1.71	19	0.503	2.57	1.57	15.6	1.58
3	0.54	2.69	1.72	16	0.554	2.62	1.75	19	0.509	2.53	1.55	15.5	1.62
4	0.54	2.67	1.65	19	0.559	2.62	1.75	20	0.496	2.50	1.56	16.0	1.60
5	0.55	2.73	1.72	17	0.545	2.53	1.67	19	0.502	2.51	1.53	15.7	1.61
6			1.71		0.537	2.64	1.65	16					
7			1.67		0.539	2.59	1.66	16					
8			1.69		0.528	2.61	1.69	17					
9			1.67		0.531	2.58	1.65	16					
10			1.68		0.539	2.60	1.67	17					
Average	0.54	2.68	1.68	17.20	0.54	2.60	1.69	17.70	0.50	2.54	1.55	15.72	1.60
Std Dev	0.0045	0.0297	0.0258	1.3038	0.0099	0.0319	0.0373	1.4944	0.0054	0.0387	0.0152	0.1924	0.0152
Average T	0.531	2.605	1.650	17.080									
Std Dev.T	0.0193	0.0613	0.0596	1.4548									
Report	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t									
	0.53	2.61	1.65	17									



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WCM Minerals

A Division of WCM Sales Ltd.

PB 122

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

LAB	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	LAB3	LAB4	LAB4	LAB4	LAB4	LAB5	LAB5	LAB5	LAB5
Replicate	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
1	0.781	1.98	2.42	123	0.771	2.07	2.31	118	0.802	2.01	2.31	114.1	0.761	1.913	2.376	111.2	0.771	1.994	2.672	128
2	0.782	2.00	2.42	119	0.786	2.09	2.35	127	0.804	2.01	2.33	116.4	0.762	1.927	2.364	111.6	0.772	1.989	2.658	128
3	0.781	1.98	2.47	116	0.780	2.06	2.32	127	0.798	2.05	2.31	113.2	0.760	1.925	2.339	112.6	0.774	2.003	2.604	127
4	0.785	2.00	2.45	112	0.772	2.01	2.29	125	0.801	2.05	2.30	113.5	0.777	1.922	2.369	111.6	0.775	1.982	2.598	126
5	0.792	1.99	2.47	109	0.770	1.99	2.28	118	0.793	2.04	2.34	114.6	0.768	1.913	2.388	111.6	0.768	1.990	2.636	128
6													0.762	1.918	2.429	110.4				
Average	0.784	1.990	2.446	115.80	0.776	2.044	2.310	123.0	0.800	2.032	2.318	114.36	0.765	1.920	2.378	111.5	0.772	1.992	2.634	127.4
Std Dev.	0.005	0.010	0.025	5.541	0.007	0.042	0.027	4.637	0.004	0.020	0.016	1.262	0.007	0.006	0.030	0.713	0.003	0.008	0.032	0.894
Average T	0.779	1.993	2.416	118.15																
Std Dev.	0.013	0.050	0.122	6.726																
Report	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t																
	0.78	1.99	2.42	118																

Country of Origin - Canada

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WCM MINERALS

PB 129

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

Page 1 of 2

LAB	LAB	LAB1	LAB1	LAB1	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB2	LAB3	LAB ₃	LAB ₃	LAB3
No.	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
1	0.281	1.24	2.01	24	0.306	1.25	2.12	25.3	0.278	1.23	2.05	19.8
2	0.286	1.23	2.01	23	0.299	1.28	2.08	24.6	0.273	1.25	2.04	21.5
3	0.284	1.23	2.02	24	0.307	1.27	2.09	24.5	0.275	1.26	2.03	20.8
4	0.283	1.24	2.02	23	0.310	1.26	2.11	24.3	0.270	1.25	2.06	20.9
5	0.285	1.23	1.99	23	0.308	1.27	2.06	25.6	0.276	1.24	2.08	19.0
6	0.282	1.23	1.97	22	0.275	1.24	2.12	23				
7	0.288	1.22	1.94	22	0.271	1.26		23				
8	0.279	1.21	1.98	22	0.275	1.24		23				
9	0.281	1.23	1.96	22	0.273	1.25		22				
10	0.284	1.22	2.02	23	0.268	1.24		22				
11	0.282	1.21	1.95	22	0.270	1.23		24				
Average	0.283	1.226	1.988	22.73	0.287	1.254	2.097	23.75	0.274	1.246	2.052	20.40
Std Dev.	0.003	0.010	0.030	0.786	0.018	0.016	0.024	1.236	0.003	0.011	0.019	0.992
Ave. (5 labs)	0.281	1.237	2.004	23.24								
Std Dev	0.0115	0.017	0.062	1.696								
Recommended	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t								
Value	0.28	1.24	2.00	23								

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

WCM MINERALS

PB 129

Copper, Lead, Zinc, Silver Reference Material

Page 2 of 2

LAB	LAB4	LAB4	LAB4	LAB4	LAB5	LAB5	LAB5	LAB5
No.	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
1	0.27	1.23	1.93	25.7	0.28	1.23	1.97	23.3
2	0.27	1.23	1.92	25.7	0.28	1.21	1.96	23.2
3	0.27	1.23	1.92	25.8	0.29	1.23	1.99	23.0
4	0.27	1.24	1.92	25.8	0.28	1.22	1.98	23.2
5	0.27	1.23	1.92	25.8	0.28	1.21	1.98	23.2
6	0.27	1.23	1.92	25.0				
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
Average	0.270	1.232	1.922	25.63	0.282	1.220	1.976	23.18
Std Dev.	0	0.004	0.004	0.314	0.004	0.010	0.011	0.110

APPENDIX C – Blende Property Claims

Claim #	Claim Name		Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC50712	Max	78	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50713	Max	79	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50714	Max	80	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50715	Max	81	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50716	Max	82	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50717	Max	83	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50718	Max	84	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50719	Max	85	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50726	Max	92	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50727	Max	93	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50728	Max	94	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50729	Max	95	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50730	Max	96	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50731	Max	97	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50732	Max	98	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50733	Max	99	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50740	Max	106	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50741	Max	107	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50742	Max	108	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50743	Max	109	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50744	Max	110	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50745	Max	111	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50746	Max	112	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50747	Max	113	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54978	Max	154	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54979	Max	155	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54980	Max	156	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54981	Max	157	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54982	Max	158	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54983	Max	159	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54984	Max	160	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC54985	Max	161	12/8/2006	12/5/2006	12/8/2018	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39822	Trax	1	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39823	Trax	2	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District	
YC39824	Trax	3	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39825	Trax	4	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39826	Trax	5	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39827	Trax	6	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39828	Trax	7	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39829	Trax	8	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39830	Trax	9	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39831	Trax	10	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39832	Trax	11	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39833	Trax	12	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39834	Trax	13	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39835	Trax	14	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39836	Trax	15	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39837	Trax	16	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39838	Trax	17	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39839	Trax	18	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39840	Trax	19	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39841	Trax	20	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39842	Trax	21	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39843	Trax	22	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39844	Trax	23	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39845	Trax	24	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39846	Trax	25	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39847	Trax	26	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39848	Trax	27	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC39849	Trax	28	9/21/2005	9/20/2005	9/21/2019	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09985	Mix	1	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09986	Mix	2	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09987	Mix	3	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09988	Mix	4	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09989	Mix	5	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09990	Mix	6	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09991	Mix	7	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09992	Mix	8	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09993	Mix	9	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District	
YC09994	Mix	10	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09995	Mix	11	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09996	Mix	12	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09997	Mix	13	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09998	Mix	14	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC09999	Mix	15	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC10000	Mix	16	3/28/2002	3/19/2002	3/28/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11723	Trix	1	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11724	Trix	2	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11725	Trix	3	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11726	Trix	4	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11727	Trix	5	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11728	Trix	6	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11729	Trix	7	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11730	Trix	8	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11731	Trix	9	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11732	Trix	10	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11733	Trix	11	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11734	Trix	12	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11735	Trix	13	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11736	Trix	14	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11737	Trix	15	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11738	Trix	16	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11739	Trix	17	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11740	Trix	18	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11741	Trix	19	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11742	Trix	20	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11743	Trix	21	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11744	Trix	22	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11745	Trix	23	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11746	Trix	24	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11747	Trix	25	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11748	Trix	26	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11749	Trix	27	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC11750	Trix	28	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo



**Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project**

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC11751	Trix	29	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11752	Trix	30	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11753	Trix	31	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11754	Trix	32	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11755	Trix	33	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11756	Trix	34	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11757	Trix	35	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11758	Trix	36	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11759	Trix	37	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11760	Trix	38	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11761	Trix	39	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11762	Trix	40	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11763	Trix	41	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11764	Trix	42	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11765	Trix	43	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11766	Trix	44	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11767	Trix	45	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC11768	Trix	46	4/21/2004	4/17/2004	4/21/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50636	Max	1	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50637	Max	2	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50638	Max	3	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50639	Max	4	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50640	Max	5	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50641	Max	6	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50642	Max	7	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50643	Max	8	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50644	Max	9	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50645	Max	10	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50646	Max	11	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50647	Max	12	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50648	Max	13	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50649	Max	14	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50650	Max	15	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50651	Max	16	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo
YC50652	Max	17	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105 Mayo



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC50653	Max 18	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50654	Max 19	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50655	Max 20	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50656	Max 21	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50657	Max 22	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50658	Max 23	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50659	Max 24	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50660	Max 25	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50661	Max 26	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50662	Max 27	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50663	Max 28	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50664	Max 29	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50665	Max 30	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50666	Max 31	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50667	Max 32	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50668	Max 33	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50669	Max 34	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50670	Max 35	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50671	Max 36	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50672	Max 37	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50673	Max 38	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50674	Max 39	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50675	Max 40	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50676	Max 41	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50677	Max 42	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50678	Max 43	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50679	Max 44	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50680	Max 45	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50681	Max 46	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50682	Max 47	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50683	Max 48	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50684	Max 49	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50685	Max 50	8/23/2006	8/4/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50686	Max 51	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50687	Max 52	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC50688	Max 53	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50689	Max 54	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50690	Max 55	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50691	Max 56	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50692	Max 57	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50693	Max 58	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50694	Max 59	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50695	Max 60	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50696	Max 61	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50697	Max 62	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50698	Max 63	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50699	Max 64	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50700	Max 66	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50701	Max 67	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50702	Max 68	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50703	Max 69	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50704	Max 70	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50705	Max 71	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50706	Max 72	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50707	Max 73	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50708	Max 74	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50709	Max 75	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50710	Max 76	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50711	Max 77	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50720	Max 86	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50721	Max 87	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50722	Max 88	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50723	Max 89	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50724	Max 90	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50725	Max 91	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50734	Max 100	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50735	Max 101	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50736	Max 102	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50737	Max 103	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50738	Max 104	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo



Blind Creek Resources
Blende Project

Claim #	Claim Name	Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC50739	Max 105	8/23/2006	8/6/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50748	Max 114	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50749	Max 115	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50750	Max 116	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50751	Max 117	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50752	Max 118	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50753	Max 119	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50754	Max 120	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50755	Max 121	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50756	Max 122	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50757	Max 123	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50758	Max 124	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50759	Max 125	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50760	Max 126	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50761	Max 127	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50762	Max 128	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50763	Max 129	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50764	Max 130	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50765	Max 131	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50766	Max 132	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50767	Max 133	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50768	Max 134	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50769	Max 135	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50770	Max 136	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50771	Max 137	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50772	Max 138	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50773	Max 139	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50774	Max 140	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50775	Max 141	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50776	Max 142	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50777	Max 143	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50778	Max 144	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50779	Max 145	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50780	Max 146	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50781	Max 147	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo

Claim #	Claim Name		Recording Date	Stake Date	Expiry Date	Area	NTS	Assessment Due	District
YC50782	Max	148	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50783	Max	149	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50784	Max	150	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50785	Max	151	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50786	Max	152	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC50787	Max	153	8/23/2006	8/5/2006	8/23/2020	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32293	Trix	47	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32294	Trix	48	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32295	Trix	49	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32296	Trix	50	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32297	Trix	51	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32298	Trix	52	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32299	Trix	53	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32300	Trix	54	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32301	Trix	55	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo
YC32302	Trix	56	8/10/2004	8/7/2004	9/21/2022	51.65	106D07	\$105	Mayo